APPENDIX P

Summary of One Window Communications and Responses

Waterdown/ Aldershot Transportation Master Plan: Phase 3
Waterdown Road (North-South Corridor)

Master Comments Database

ID# 1 to ID# 199

(November 1, 2007 – Aug 15, 2008)

SECTION 1: COMMENTS FROM THE GENERAL PUBLIC

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
ID# 2 [redacted] [redacted] Jan 1, 2007 Email	Hi Margaret, Just got a quick question for you regarding Waterdown South. I know there is planned housing development, but has the zoning and everything been approved? I am curious as to when houses will actually begin to be built in that area... thanks a lot [redacted]	Margaret McCarthy Forwarded to Diana Morreale	Diana Morreale Jan 21, 2008 Email	Good Afternoon [redacted] Thank you for your e-mail. My name is Diana Morreale and I am the Senior Project Manager working on the Waterdown Aldershot Transportation Master Plan. On behalf of the City of Hamilton I have prepared a response to your e-mail to Councillor McCarthy dated Friday January 18, 2007. For reference purposes I have included your question below. ***"I know there is planned housing development, but has the zoning and everything been approved?"*** The City of Hamilton has received a development application for a portion of lands in Waterdown South. This development application is for a plan of subdivision and zoning by-law amendment for 250 residential units and a stormwater management facility. The development application is known as ***Waterdown Bay*** and it has been appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB). The appeal has been lodged by the private land owner. At this time no approvals have been granted as the appeal is still at the Ontario Municipal Board. *For more information on the Waterdown Bay Ontario Municipal Board Appeal, please contact:* Jason Thompson, MCIP, RPP Senior Planner City of Hamilton Planning and Economic Development Department	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted]	[redacted]			Planning Division Development Planning – West Section 77 James Street North, Suite 400 Hamilton ON L8N 0A3 Phone - 905-546-2424 ext 3933 Fax - 905-546-4202 jthompso@hamilton.ca Please note, that the City of Hamilton is currently preparing a Secondary Plan for Waterdown South. The Secondary Plan will provide direction on land use, infrastructure, transportation, environment and urban design for the area. The Planning Department will be holding Public Information Centre No. 3 on Wednesday January 23, 2008 at St. Thomas the Apostle Parish Hall, 715 Centre Road, Waterdown from 7pm to 9pm. The preferred land use plan for Waterdown South will be presented at the meeting. This plan is based on community input and comments provided by the various City departments/sections and interested agencies. *For more information on the Waterdown South Secondary Plan, please contact:* Kirsten McCauley, MCIP, RPP Planner City of Hamilton Planning and Economic Development Department Planning Division Community Planning and Design Section 77 James Street North, Suite 250 P.O. Box 2040 LCD 1 Hamilton ON L8N 0A3 Phone - 905-546-2424 ext 1292	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				Fax - 905-643-7250 kmccaule@hamilton.ca I hope this e-mail answers your question. If you have any additional questions please let me know. Also, if you have any questions on the Waterdown/ Aldershot Transportation Master Plan please contact me (my contact information is included below). Take care and have a great day!	
ID# 3 [redacted] Jan 24, 2008 Email	Dear Kirsten : Thank you for following up and forwarding me these links. I shall review same and contact you regarding any questions I may have! I do have one area of concern that is our property is on the North East Corner of Mill St and Mountain Brow, I am wondering if a traffic circle is being planned or will it be Traffic Lights. I am also wondering how Wide the road width will be from the existing center line? Thank you [redacted]	Forwarded to Diana Morreale by Kirsten McCauley	Kirsten McCauley Jan 24, 2008 Email --- City of Hamilton - Diana Morreale Jan 29, 2008 Email	Hi [redacted] By copy of this email, I am forwarding your questions to Diana Morreale (dmorreal@hamilton.ca). She is the Project Manager for the Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan (WATMP) and she can provide more information the status of the WATMP. I should note that a separate Public Information Centre is being scheduled for Transportation Master Plan in early March. If you have any further questions regarding the secondary plan, please contact me. Thanks, Kirsten --- Hi [redacted] Thank you for your e-mail. The purpose of this e-mail is to answer the questions you have outlined in your January 24th, 2008 e-mail to Kirsten McCauley as well as provide you with an update on the Waterdown Aldershot Transportation Master Plan. For reference	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted]				purposes I have highlighted portions of your e-mail in my response. The project team for the Waterdown Aldershot Transportation Master Plan (TMP) is currently finalizing Phase 2 of the TMP. The Draft Phase 2 report has received approval from the project partners: the Cities of Hamilton and Burlington and the Region of Halton. The project partners are now preparing to commence Phase 3 of the Class EA process, which includes detailed Environmental Assessment studies on the preliminary technically preferred corridors. The preliminary technically preferred corridors are based on the results of the Waterdown/Aldershot Tran[redacted] Master Plan that was prepared following Phases 1 and 2 of the MEA Class Environmental Assessment (EA) process. Further environmental analysis and evaluation will be completed through Phases 3 and 4 of the Class EA process to confirm the final alignment of these preferred corridors. ***Q: I do have one area of concern that is our property is on the N E Corner of Mill St and Mountain Brow, I am wondering if a traffic circle is being planned or will it be Traffic Lights.*** ***I am also wondering how Wide the road width will be from the existing center line ?*** Phase 3 will also look at how intersections will be designed (ex- roundabouts, signalized intersection, etc.). The road width design will also be determined	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				during our Phase 3 work. A Public Information Meeting for the Waterdown Aldershot TMP will be held on Thursday, March 6, 2008 at St. Thomas Apostles Church, 715 Centre Rd. Notices will be placed in the Spectator and the Flamborough Review soon. This meeting will: • Present the final Phase 2 Report and findings • Discuss and obtain public input on the proposed approach to Phases 3 and 4. I hope I have answered your questions. If you have any additional questions please let me know. Take care, Diana Morreale	
ID# 4 [redacted] Jan 25, 2008 Email	Dear Mayor Eisenberger (and Margaret): At the Secondary Plan meeting for Waterdown South (attended by Margaret) I asked whether bike lanes were being considered for the new Waterdown road. The consultants were "unable" to provide a response at the meeting but committed to getting a reply to me. This is it , and I fear the message seems to be there will be no bike lanes. This seems outrageous given that we need to encourage cycling and that many groups on the Stakeholder Advisory Committee strongly made the case for bike lanes I wonder if you could share your views on this issue? Kind regards	Mayor Eisenberger and Margaret McCarthy Forwarded to Lura Consulting	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Jan 25, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] In follow-up to your question at the South Waterdown Secondary Plan PIC regarding the potential for bike lanes along Waterdown Rd., we note that the original road improvement options that were assessed and evaluated did not include bike lanes. However, we note that the 3-Lane Waterdown Rd option that Burlington requested Dillon to assess did include bike lanes. The possibility to include bike lanes as part of the Waterdown Rd. improvements will be examined in Phase 3 of the EA process. We note that the inclusion of bike lanes would require a wider road way footprint which could result in greater impacts. Should you have any further questions in this regard, please let us know.	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	[redacted]			Patricia Prokop	
ID# 5 [redacted] Jan 25, 2008 Email	Patricia: Thanks for the follow-up. I fear the message seems to be there will be no bike lanes. This seems outrageous given that we need to encourage cycling and that many groups on the Stakeholder Advisory Committee strongly made the case for bike lanes. Can you please ensure that the response below is shared with members of the original SAC at least one of whom was with an organisation that promoted sustainable transportation. Also I believe it was the intention to re-convene the SAC one final time. (I believe Ms Lee-Morrison promised that in her email of Tue 13/11/2007 to Mr Breznik) . Can you please advise the probable date of this meeting? Kind regards, [redacted]	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Jan 30, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] Further to your request to circulate the response relating to bike lanes, prepared by Dillon Consulting, and forwarded to you by myself, we will ensure that it is in the package prepared for the upcoming SAC meeting and included as a discussion item (please let us know if you do not wish it to be on the agenda). We have just received confirmation on the date for the SAC meeting (February 28; 2008), and are currently confirming the venue. We will contact you shortly to confirm this and to check your availability. Thank you for your continued participation in the Waterdown-Aldershot Transportation Master Plan Project	
ID# 6 [redacted] Jan 26, 2008 Email	Dear Mayor Eisenberger and Councillor McCarthy, I gather this was cc'd to me from [redacted] because I arrived at Wednesday's PIC meeting by bike. :-) (I've sent this reply to him already on another thread.)A few observations and opinions: My "Executive Summary" is that bike lanes are NOT necessary on Waterdown Road's eventual four-lane configuration, nor on the extension into South	Mayor Eisenberger and Margaret McCarthy To Rita D'Ortenzio	Rita D'Ortenzio Jan 28, 2008	[redacted] I will ensure that Councillor McCarthy receives your extensive comments regarding bike lanes, and have forwarded this note along with your comments to staff so that they can be taken into consideration when the issue of bike lanes is examined during the Phase 3 portion of the EA process. Thank you for your offer to elaborate on those points. I'm sure staff will follow-up if required.	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted] becomes	Waterdown. 1) For safe cycling, all you need is that the right lane of the roadway is wide enough for a cyclist and a motor vehicle to share. If you try to cram a bike lane and a "motor vehicle lane" into the same space by dividing it with a lane line you end up making the traffic lane too narrow to share with a cyclist if the [redacted] bike lane becomes impassable (see Para. 3 below), or if the cyclist needs to pass to the left of a motorist preparing to turn right. [redacted] 2) If the right lane is simply made adequately wide -- and the usual full-width design is fine, I don't know the width in metres off-hand -- a motor vehicle overtaking the cyclist at a safe speed can do so in most cases without having to cross the lane line. In practice, nearly all motor vehicles do cross the lane line, at least a little, when overtaking cyclists even on two-lane roads. (They prefer to pass wide rather than slow down, just as I do on my bike when passing a slower cyclist.) On a four-lane road of course it is much easier for an overtaking motor vehicle to move left since the adjacent lane does not have on-coming traffic in it. Since bike lanes become even less useful when there are two or more lanes of traffic moving in the same direction, it is therefore quite reasonable to remove the bike lanes when the 3-lane road grows to four. 3) The downside of providing a dedicated bike lane [redacted] especially apparent to cyclists who ride through the winter months. When the road is salted to			[redacted] [redacted]	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	remove snow, a lot of the actual snow removal comes from salt dispersion by motor vehicle tires. If the road does not have a bike lane, just a normally wide right lane, motor vehicles tend to "wander" laterally in the lane enough that salt brine reaches the edge of the pavement, clearing the snow where the cyclist rides. If the cars are all being constrained by the painted bike lane line to "their" lane, what happens is that the brine doesn't make its way all the way over to the edge of the pavement, leaving the bike lane at least partially snow-covered and icy. (You can see this quite strikingly on Toronto's Bloor Viaduct since bike lanes were added several years ago.) The cyclist then has no choice but to ride in the motor vehicle lane, which as stated in Para. 1 above, is now too narrow to share, having been chopped off to make the bike lane.) Overtaking cars now have to move further left into the adjacent lane, increasing conflict with the cyclists and with other motor vehicles. Finally, the painted lane stripe itself becomes very slippery in the freezing wet and if you have to cross back and forth over it, your front wheel can wipe out and you'll fall. 4) This effect is still present in the summer, but in a manner invisible to motorists. Bits of junk and litter that fall off or are thrown out of motor vehicles (and yes, by cyclists too!) get blown and swept to the right, down the camber of the pavement. Again because of the tendency of cars to "wander" in a full-width lane, this debris is either picked up by the car tires or blown further off eventually into the gravel shoulder or gutters. If there is a bike lane, this "foreign-object				

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	debris" makes it only to the bike lane where it persists as a tire-puncture hazard to the cyclist. Bike traffic is not heavy or fast enough to sweep it farther right. In extreme cases it can cause the cyclist to crash. I know of a case where a cyclist ran over a discarded aluminum pop can which clamped around his front tire and then jammed in the fork, causing him to "endo". That is why you see cyclists on roads without bike lanes riding a foot or two out to the left of the edge of the pavement where they can avoid this debris which motorists of course are totally oblivious to. On busy roads with paved shoulders or bike lanes, there can be enough debris over to the right of the fog stripe to make it unusable. This depends a lot on the littering tendencies of the traffic using the roadway -- fortunately here in Flamborough/Aldershot the problem is minimal. But if an arterial road's bike lane is never swept by works crews, debris will accumulate over the months. 5) Bike lanes are all about the political controversy around "rights" to the road and "cars versus bikes". While measures to improve the profile and presence of cyclists on roads are certainly welcome, we should not confuse politics with road safety and efficient transportation. On a road without a bike lane but with a normally wide right lane, the cyclist already has all the space he needs -- all road use is about "sharing the road." When there are no cyclists present, the motorists can use the whole lane as they need to, which sweeps away the litter and disperses salt for us. But if a bike lane is provided, it denies permanently a				

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted]	metre or so of pavement to motorists, even when there are no cyclists present for hours and hours (or even days) at a time, and requires either that the motorist lane has to be narrower than desirable, or that the whole road has to be wider than necessary, neither of which is "fair". (But self-styled "bicycle activists" love it!) . Patricia Prokop's point about a wider footprint having larger impacts is certainly well-taken. And then that bike lane may become unusable anyway, because of the litter and snow problem I have described above 6) Cyclists can take responsibility their own safety on the road by observing the Highway Traffic Act, riding defensively, thinking like a motorist, and being visible in the dark. (The only things I really worry about are drunk drivers and cell-phone users.) Bike lanes on roads like Waterdown Rd present and future don't really contribute anything to cycling safety. 7) Bike lanes cause a whole host of other problems in built-up areas because of confusion and conflict at [redacted]ections. Since Waterdown Road will have few intersections along its length I will not go into these additional issues here. I'm pleased to elaborate on any of these points if it would be useful.			[redacted]	
ID# 7 [redacted] Jan 26, 2008	Thank you for responding to [redacted] query about bike lanes on the "mature" 4-lane Waterdown Rd. which he forwarded to me. I have responded to the relevant municipal councillors about this issue (which is	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Jan 28, 2008	Thank you [redacted] Your comments will be added to the comment tracking database and distributed to the WATMP Project Team.	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted]	political and not technical in my view) and I am copying my response (below to your [redacted] your interest. You have my permission to disseminate this; I regard it as part of the public record of discussions on the Transportation Master Plan		Email	[redacted]	
ID# 8 [redacted] Feb 1, 2008 Email	Patricia: Thanks for advising the date. Has an email gone out to all members so they can put it in their calendars? [redacted]	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 8, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] With respect to the upcoming SAC Meeting to be held on February 28, 2008, further to your suggestion, an email has been sent out to all SAC members notifying them of the meeting, and follow up phone calls have been taking place this week. Thank you for your inquiry expressing your concern about the capability of Waterdown Road to accommodate bike lanes. Dillon Consulting has advised that Phase 3 of the Waterdown-Aldershot Transportation Master Plan process will look at the possibility of accommodating bicycles either on road or/and off road (as requested by Burlington Council). The project team intend to work with the community to look at how this can be integrated and discuss the associated impacts (if any) and potential mitigative measures (if any). I hope this clears up any misunderstanding around the intentions of the study team to consider bike lanes in the Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan Study.	
ID# 10 [redacted] Feb 15, 2008 Email	I would like to know how the NAC committee is being selected. I see you have to fill out a form to "apply" to be on it what happens then to ensure this is a democratic selection?	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 15, 2008	Dea[redacted], Thank you for your email. To answer your question regarding the selection process for the NAC	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted]	I would also like to know how long it is going to take for someone to approach us about our house as it is most likely to be one of the ones needing to be "acqui[redacted]en in limbo now sin[redacted]g the house (over four years) and I don't think I want to wait much longer as it is a very stressful situation to be in which also jeopardizes the health of those involved.		Email --- Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 28, 2008 Email	Committee, please find attached the Draft NAC Recruitment Strategy. This is a draft version, and is open for input and discussion through the consultation process. Please feel free to provide us with your comme[redacted]proach will be confirmed by March 14, 2008. I have passed on your concerns regarding the "acquiring" of homes along Waterdown Road to the appropriate member(s) of the Waterdown-Aldershot Transportation Master Plan (WATMP) Project Team and a response will be sent to you by the Neutral Community Facilitator's Office within 10 business days. In the meantime, if you have any additional questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us. --- Dear[redacted] We have obtained a response from the Project Team to your second question. It is outlined below. 2. I would also like to know how long it is going to take for someone to approach us about our house as it is most likely to be one of the ones needing to be "acquired" for the road widening. I've been in limbo now since purchasing the house (over four years) and I don't think I want to wait much longer as it is a very stressful situation to be in which also jeopardizes the health of those involved.	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				The property requirements for the Waterdown Road corridor will be one of the outcomes of the Phase 3 and 4 study. At this time a more detailed assessment of property requirements cannot be provided. We anticipate that the outcomes of the phase 3 and 4 studies will be completed within the next 12 months. During this time, please continue to participate in the study.	
ID# 11 [redacted] Feb 15 2008 Email	Hello, Our Real Estate agent forwarded an email with attachments regarding the proposed changes between Aldershot and Waterdown. Is it possible to be placed on a mailing (email or snail) regarding the Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan for such things as below: 1. The Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan (WATMP) Notice of Public Information Centres (PICs) 2. The WATMP Introductory Newsletter 3. The WATMP Communiqué 4. The Neighbourhood Advisory Committee (NAC) Application Form Regards [redacted]	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	None required. Added to the mailing list [redacted]	
ID# 15 [redacted] Feb 19, 2008 Phone	Lives at [redacted], has a 6 ½ acre property including the house and would like to know how his property will be affected by the widening of Waterdown Rd. He would like to see a diagram or a map.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 26, 2008 Email	Dear Mr. [redacted] We have discussed your enquiry with the Project Team and have received the following response. As the project moves into Phase 3 and 4 of the	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				Environmental Assessment, the road alignment and property requirements for the Waterdown Road corridor will be determined. The Project Team will be consulting with property owners and interested members of the public to discuss environmental, social and other considerations during Phase 3. The visual representation of Waterdown Road will also be another outcome of the Phase 3 and 4 study. Please consult the "Path Forward" document for further information relating to the specifics of the studies that will be conducted during Phase 3 and 4. (www.hamilton.ca/WaterdownTMP) Should you wish to participate in these discussions, we encourage you to apply to join a Neighbourhood Advisory Committee (application attached), attend a Public Information Centre (PIC), or arrange a meeting with the Project Team when the study reaches that stage. We will add your name to our mailing list and provide you with updates as the project proceeds.	
ID# 16 [redacted] Feb 19, 2008 Email	Please remove my name and [redacted] name from your mailing list. This project is located outside on the [redacted] jurisdiction.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Removed from Database Feb 19th, 2008	
ID# 21 [redacted] Feb 21, 2008 Email	Attention: Sally Le[redacted] Facilitator ppard Neutral Community Dear Sally,	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 28, 2008	Email was acknowledged Feb 21, 2008. ***Dear*** [redacted] ***Thank you for your email enquiry dated February 29,***	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted]	I am a resident at [redacted]. My property is located at the southwest corner of Mountain Brow Road and Waterdown Road. I have read the documents provided on the website and have a few comments/questions which I would like to be addressed as we progress through the design [redacted] may not be answerable until further into the process but I wanted to put them on the table with [redacted]. They are as follows: GENERAL QUESTIONS 1) Has the four lane design been definitively accepted or is it just the "preferred option"? 2) Does council have to vote again to approve four lanes instead of three? 3) Is the roundabout shown in the sample road design decided on or are other forms of intersections being considered? 4) As part of the road construction will the City be installing sewers. Many of us are on septic and in some cases are likely to find our septic beds affected by the construction. If the option to hook up to a sewer line were part of the construction, I would view it as a positive. 5) Will the road be designated a no truck route? PROPERTY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS 1) If the City removes or kills trees bordering my property during construction (even if they are City trees) do they have to replace them? 2) If the proposed "roundabout" cuts through the top		Email	***2008. We have answered you questions to the best of our knowledge below.*** GENERAL QUESTIONS 1) Has the four lane design been definitively accepted or is it just the "preferred option"? ***The 4-lane design has been accepted and Council has requested that Phasing Options (3-lanes/4-lanes) be evaluated as part of the next round of the study.*** 2) Does council have to vote again to approve four lanes instead of three? ***The recommendations of the next round of the study will be presented to Council (Hamilton, Burlington, and Halton Region) at set stages in the study schedule for approval.*** 3) Is the roundabout shown in the sample road design decided on or are other forms of intersections being considered? ***It was approved as an example. The ultimate intersection configuration will be defined as part of the Phase 3/4 Study . There will be considerable public consultation in determining the recommended intersection configuration. Should you be interested in participating in these discussions, please do not hesitate to apply to participate in the proposed Neighbourhood Advisory Committee (NAC) for the North-South Waterdown Road study. The NAC Recruitment Strategy and the NAC Application Form are both available online at www.hamilton.ca/WaterdownTMP.*** 4) As part of the road construction will the City be installing sewers. Many of us are on septic and in some cases are likely to find our septic beds affected by the	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted]	end of my driveway (which crosses City property to reach the road), will the City provide funds for the relocation of my driveway to another part of the property? 3) Will the City be surveying the property and clearly marking the boundaries so owners can carry out landscaping in advance of the construction? 4) Will the City be assisting with landscaping along the edge of the new road to provide screening as currently exists? Sorry for the lengthy e-mail, but, as you can see, there are a number of questions we have been waiting on for quite some time. I note from the path forward report that the city will only be meeting directly with "key" affected landowners. On this basis, the rest of us need some sort of mechanism for getting our property specific questions answered clearly and in a timely fashion.			construction. If the option to hook up to a sewer line were part of the construction, I would view it as a positive. ***This will be determined as part of the Phase 3/4 study.*** 5) Will the road be designated a no truck route? ***This will be determined as part of the Phase 3/4 study.*** PROPERTY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS 1) If the City removes or kills trees bordering my property during construction (even if they are City trees) do they have to replace them? ***These are issues that the City of Burlington can respond to during Phases 3 & 4 of the study.*** 2) If the proposed "roundabout" cuts through the top end of my driveway (which crosses City property to reach the road), will the City provide funds for the relocation of my driveway to another part of the property? ***These are issues that the City of Burlington can respond to [redacted]s 3 & 4 of the study.*** 3) Will the City be surveying the property and clearly marking the boundaries so owners can carry out landscaping in advance of the construction? ***A topographic survey will be undertaken as part of the Phase 3/4 study but property markings will likely not be installed.*** 4) Will the City be assisting with landscaping along the edge of the new road to provide screening as currently exists? ***These are issues that the City of Burlington can respond to during Phases 3 & 4 of the study.*** I note from the path forward report that the city will only be meeting directly with "key" affected landowners. On this basis, the rest of us need some sort	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				of mechanism for getting our property specific questions answered clearly and in a timely fashion. ***There will be opportunities to discuss specific concerns through the Public Consultation sessions. If needed, one-on-one sessions(s) can be scheduled.*** ***The issues that you raise will be documented and brought forward at the appropriate stages of the study. Pleas do not hesitate to contact us if you would like to meet with the project team at the appropriate stage.***	
ID# 21 [redacted] Feb 21, 2008 Email	Attention: Sally Leppard, Neutral Community Facilitator Dear Sally, I am a resident at [redacted]. My property is located at the southwest corner of Mountain Brow Road and Waterdown Road. I have read the documents provided on the website and have a few comments/questions which I would like to be addressed as we progress through the design process. I realize some of these questions may not be answerable until further into the process but I wanted to put them on the table with you at this time. They are as follows: GENERAL QUESTIONS 6) Has the four lane design been definitively accepted or is it just the "preferred option"? 7) Does council have to vote again to approve four lanes instead of three? 8) Is the roundabout shown in the sample road design decided on or are other forms of	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 28, 2008 Email	Email was acknowledged Feb 21, 2008. ***Dear*** [redacted] ***Thank you for your email enquiry dated February 29, 2008. We have answered you questions to the best of our knowledge below.*** GENERAL QUESTIONS 1) Has the four lane design been definitively accepted or is it just the "preferred option"? ***The 4-lane design has been accepted and Council has requested that Phasing Options (3-lanes/4-lanes) be evaluated as part of the next round of the study.*** 2) Does council have to vote again to approve four lanes instead of three? ***The recommendations of the next round of the study will be presented to Council (Hamilton, Burlington, and Halton Region) at set stages in the study schedule for approval.*** 3) Is the roundabout shown in the sample road design decided on or are other forms of intersections being considered? ***It was approved as an example. The ultimate***	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	intersections being considered? 9) As part of the road construction will the City be installing sewers. Many of us are on septic and in some cases are likely to find our septic beds affected by the construction. If the option to hook up to a sewer line were part of the construction, I would view it as a positive. 10) Will the road be designated a no truck route? PROPERTY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS 5) If the City removes or kills trees bordering my property during construction (even if they are City trees) do they have to replace them? 6) If the proposed "roundabout" cuts through the top end of my driveway (which crosses City property to reach the road), will the City provide funds for the relocation of my driveway to another part of the property? 7) Will the City be surveying the property and clearly marking the boundaries so owners can carry out landscaping in advance of the construction? 8) Will the City be assisting with landscaping along the edge of the new road to provide screening as currently exists? Sorry for the lengthy e-mail, but, as you can see, there are a number of questions we have been waiting on for quite some time. I note from the path forward report that the city will only be meeting directly with "key" affected landowners. On this basis, the rest of us need some sort of mechanism for getting our property specific questions answered clearly and in a timely fashion.			***intersection configuration will be defined as part of the Phase 3/4 Study . There will be considerable public consultation in determining the recommended intersection configuration. Should you be interested in participating in these discussions, please do not hesitate to apply to participate in the proposed Neighbourhood Advisory Committee (NAC) for the North-South Waterdown Road study. The NAC Recruitment Strategy and the NAC Application Form are both available online at www.hamilton.ca/WaterdownTMP.*** 4) As part of the road construction will the City be installing sewers. Many of us are on septic and in some cases are likely to find our septic beds affected by the construction. If the option to hook up to a sewer line were part of the construction, I would view it as a positive. ***This will be determined as part of the Phase 3/4 study.*** 5) Will the road be designated a no truck route? ***This will be determined as part of the Phase 3/4 study.*** PROPERTY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS 1) If the City removes or kills trees bordering my property during construction (even if they are City trees) do they have to replace them? ***These are issues that the City of Burlington can respond to during Phases 3 & 4 of the study.*** 2) If the proposed "roundabout" cuts through the top end of my driveway (which crosses City property to reach the road), will the City provide funds for the relocation of my driveway to another part of the property? ***These are issues that the City of Burlington can respond to during Phases 3 & 4 of the study.***	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				3) Will the City be surveying the property and clearly marking the boundaries so owners can carry out landscaping in advance of the construction? ***A topographic survey will be undertaken as part of the Phase 3/4 study but property markings will likely not be installed.*** 4) Will the City be assisting with landscaping along the edge of the new road to provide screening as currently exists? ***These are issues that the City of Burlington can respond to during Phases 3 & 4 of the study.*** I note from the path forward report that the city will only be meeting directly with "key" affected landowners. On this basis, the rest of us need some sort of mechanism for getting our property specific questions answered clearly and in a timely fashion. ***There will be opportunities to discuss specific concerns through the Public Consultation sessions. If needed, one-on-one sessions(s) can be scheduled.*** ***The issues that you raise will be documented and brought forward at the appropriate stages of the study. Pleas do not hesitate to contact us if you would like to meet with the project team at the appropriate stage.***	
ID# 22 [redacted] Feb 21, 2008 Email	Patricia, [redacted], a Waterdown Road resident, fowarded me information about the Neighborhood Advisory Committees being set up to discuss the North-South and East-West corridors that are being created in Burlington/Waterdown, along Waterdown Road and along Parkside. I would like information on how to apply for the North/South NAC committee. I know[redacted]	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 21, 2008 Email	Dear[redacted] Thank you for your email, and your interest in participating on the Neighbourhood Advisory Committee (NAC). Please note that the Draft NAC Recruitment Strategy and the NAC Application Form are available online on the Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan website (www.hamilton.ca/WaterdownTMP). The NAC	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	is resigning her post, so that will free up at least one spot. I am a resident of Tyandaga, adjacent to North Aldershot, and thus a resident of Ward 1, which encompasses Waterdown Road. Any activity on Waterdown affects me as a resident of this Ward. More specifically, there are a number of issues in Tyandaga itself, namely a decision about traffic on Kerns Road, that can not move forward because Kerns Road is linked to the Waterdown/Aldershot Master Transportation Plan development. I live on a road that intersects with Kerns, so again, I am intimately affected by what decisions are made on Waterdown road, which affect Kerns. I am also a member of BurlingtonGreen, a Burlington environmental group, and would like to provide input to the committee on feasible public transit on the North-South corridor, as well as represent the environmental interests along this environmentally sensitive area. Please advise how I can move forward with applying to be on this committee, either as an individual resident and/or as a representative from BurlingtonGreen. [redacted]			Recruitment Strategy details the application process. Please note this is a draft version, and is open for input and discussion throughout the consultation process. Please feel free to provide us with your comments. The approach will be confirmed by March 14, 2008.	
ID# 23 [redacted] Feb 21, 2008 Email	When are the applications due for being chosen for the NAC, and when will the decisions be made about who is on the NAC? [redacted]	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 21, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted], According to the NAC Recruitment Strategy, the due date for submitting application forms for the two NACs is March 14, 2008. All successful and unsuccessful candidates will be contacted by April 4, 2008. In the meantime, if you have any additional questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us.	
ID# 26	Map too small to read, please send a larger version	Waterdown-	Waterdown-	Larger map was sent	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted] Feb 21, 2008 Phone		Aldershot Information	Aldershot Information Feb 21, 2008 Email		
ID# 27 [redacted] Feb 21, 2008 Phone	Live on Parkside Drive, but we are elderly and will not be able to make it to the PICs in March. Can you please mal us any relevant information? Also, the map that was sent out is too small, please send out an enlarged version. We have no access to a computer so mail is the best way to contact us and send us materials.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 21, 2008 Email	Larger map was sent. Will send relevant materials on an ongoing basis	
ID# 28 [redacted] Feb 22, 2008 Phone	Map in notice of PIC is too small to read	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 22, 2008 Email	Larger map was sent	
ID# 29 [redacted] Feb 22, 2008 Email	Hi Is there a meeting scheduled for city councilors re: the transportation plan- on Feb. 28th, 7pm? Please confirm Thanks [redacted] Waterdown BIA	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 22, 2008 Email --- Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 25, 2008 Email	Good morning [redacted] Thank you for your email. There is a Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan (WATMP) Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC) meeting on Thursday, February 28, 2008 at 7:00 p.m. at St. Thomas the Apostle Parish Hall in Waterdown, ON. If you have any additional questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us. --- Dear [redacted] Further to your e-mail request dated February 22, 2008, I wish to clarify the purpose of the meeting on February 28, 2008. The SAC was formed at the outset of this project. It is	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted]				comprised of representatives from the local community, recreation and environmental organizations, and businesses, and its purpose is to provide advice to the project. The SAC is not a meeting of councillors, but is comprised of interested stakeholder group representatives. As such, the meeting on February 28, 2008 is not a Council meeting. Members of the public are able to attend in an observer capacity. I hope this clarifies our previous response.	
ID# 30 [redacted] Feb 25, 2008 Phone	Where is the railroad track on the map? Where is the wetland on the map (North of Parkside Dr. between Centre Rd and Robson)? It should be shown clearly on the map in relation to the new East-West Road. What is the name of the street that goes North from Mountain Brow?	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 29, 2008	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your call dated February 25, 2008. We have received responses for your questions from the Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan Project Team and have provided them below. 1. Where is the railroad track on the map? (*currently not shown*) ***The railway crossing at Parkside Drive is East of the bend where the new East/West corridor connects with Parkside Drive. The attached figure presents this crossing.*** 2. Where is the wetland that is located near to Parkside Dr. between Center Road and Robson on the map? (*currently not shown*) ***Please see attached Figure 5.1 of the Final Phase 2 Report (identified as "Centre Rd Woodlot Candidate ESA/PSW").*** 3. What is the name of the street that goes North from	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				Mountain Brow? (*currently not labeled on the map*). ***We understand this to be the link in the Waterdown South secondary area connecting Dundas Street with Mountain Brow Road. A formal name for this link is not currently available as this will form part of the secondary area approval process.*** If you have any additional questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us.	
ID# 34 [redacted] Feb 26, 2008 Phone	[redacted] thanked the team for the received enlarged map and inquired about the railroad location on the map.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 26, 2008 Phone	He was advised that the railroad track runs slightly East of the new road that moves North from Parkside. Dr. [redacted] was satisfied with the response.	
ID# 35 [redacted] Feb 26, 2008 Phone	[redacted] left a follow-up voicemail asking about the clarity of the maps	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 26, 2008 Phone	The call was returned and he was informed that the maps will be printed on Thursday Feb 28, and sent to him at the end of the week	
ID# 36 [redacted] Feb 26, 2008 Phone	[redacted] requested to be removed from the database as she no longer resides in Waterdown	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 26, 2008 Phone	She was removed from the database Feb 26.	
ID# 39 [redacted] (CN) Feb 28, 2008 Email	Thank you for your letter dated February 15, 2008 regarding the above noted project. This project does not affect any CN rail line or property and CN requests to be removed from the project mailing list.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Removed from database	
ID# 40 [redacted] Feb 28, 2008	Hi - Is the crossroads centre accessible by public transit?	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Email was acknowledged Feb 29, 2008. Dear [redacted]	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
Email	Thanks, [redacted]		Mar 5, 2008 Email	Thank you for your email. Please find the Burlington Transit map at the following link http://cms.burlington.ca/Asset7583.aspx. Route 7 will take you to the Crossroads Centre at 1295 North Service Road. For details about the bus schedule for Route 7 please see http://cms.burlington.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7588. In the meantime, if you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.	
ID# 41 Unknown Feb 29, 2008 Phone	The caller enquired about the railway tracks location on the map and did not believe that the map is not detailed enough. The caller looked at the enlarged map available on the website and that map could not answer his inquiries either.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 29, 2008 Phone	The caller was advised that the neutral community facilitator's office could request a more detailed map from the appropriate team member and could not answer his inquiry as they are not the technical experts. It was decided that the caller preferred to wait for the PIC for response to his inquiries.	
ID# 42 [redacted] Feb 29, 2008 Phone	The caller enquired about the construction schedule for the transportation master plan and the phases' timing.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Mar 11, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted], In response to your phone inquiry, dated February 29, 2008, please find the following responses from the Project Team: --- *1. What is the schedule for the Transportation Master Plan (TMP)? What is the timing of the phases?* Project Team Response: The Transportation Master Plan (TMP) is now complete. As Phase 2 of the Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan is now complete, the Study will proceed to Phases 3 and 4 to examine two distinct roadway projects. They are identified as the North-South Road (Waterdown Road) Class Environmental Assessment project and the East-	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				West Road Class Environmental Assessment project. This work is commencing in March and will continue for about 1 year. 2. *When will it be completed?* Project Team Response: Please see draft schedule attached. *3. When will construction begin?* Project Team Response: The timing of construction is dependent on: • When the EA process of the Waterdown/Aldershot TMP is complete; • Receiving endorsement from the Cities of Hamilton and Burlington Councils as well as Halton Region Council on the Phase 3 and 4 results (including approval of construction costs, cost sharing arrangements, etc.); and • Whether or not the MOE receives a bump up request on the Environmental Study Reports that are filed as part of the Waterdown Road Class EA and the East-West Road Class EA. The Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan Phase 2 Final Report includes a staging plan in Section 8.0 that includes construction in year 2011. This is only an estimate and we do not foresee construction starting any earlier than 2011. In the meantime, if you have any additional questions	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				or comments please do not hesitate to contact us.	
ID# 47 [redacted] Mar 2, 2008 Email	Please let me know of the qualifications required for committee member for the proposed WATMP	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Mar 3, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your question in regard to the Waterdown Aldershot Transportation Master Plan. In response to your question below, please find attached the Recruitment Strategy and Application Form for the Neighbourhood Advisory Committee which should provide you further information around the NAC. Please let us know if you would like additional information.	
ID# 50 [redacted] Mar 3, 2008 Email	Hello, My name is [redacted] I am writing to you because I am interested in being involved with the committee for the Waterdown-Aldershot transportation plan. I would like to find out how to submit my application and what would be involved. I look forward to hearing back from you.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Mar 3, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your question in regard to the Waterdown Aldershot Transportation Master Plan. In response to your question below, please find attached the Recruitment Strategy and Application Form for the Neighbourhood Advisory Committee which should provide you further information around the NAC. Please let us know if you would like additional information.	
ID# 51 [redacted] Mar 3, 2008 Phone	Caller requested information on how to find the Path Forward report on the website	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Mar 3, 2008 Phone	Caller advised on Path Forward location on the website	
ID# 52 [redacted] Mar 3, 2008	As requested at the SAC meeting of February 28, 2008, I would appreciate receiving the following as soon as possible:	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Mar 13, 2008	Email was acknowledged Mar 4, 2008. Dear [redacted]	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
Email	1. The black-lined version of the Final Phase 2 Report prepared by Dillon Consulting. This should be the draft Phase 2 Report prepared by Dillon Consulting with sections highlighted by underlines and cross-outs indicating additions to and deletions from the draft Phase 2 Report. It is a reconciliation from the Draft Report to the Final Report; 2. A listing of all SAC members including who they represent; 3. A copy of Dillon Consulting's presentation materials from February 28, 2008. I believe it was a powerpoint presentation. I realize this is quite a bit of information and I greatly appreciate the efforts involved to get these. Many thanks in advance [redacted]		Email	Thank you for your e-mail dated March 3, 2008. We have received a response from the project team and provide it below. We have organized it by issue and for ease of reference, we have included excerpts of your e-mail in italics, with the project team response following. As requested at the SAC meeting of February 28, 2008, I would appreciate receiving the following as soon as possible: 1. The black-lined version of the Final Phase 2 Report prepared by Dillon Consulting. This should be the draft Phase 2 Report prepared by Dillon Consulting with sections highlighted by underlines and cross- outs indicating additions to and deletions from the draft Phase 2 Report. It is a reconciliation from the Draft Report to the Final Report; **Project Team Response:** A black-lined version of the Final Phase 2 Report is currently being reviewed, and will be available shortly. 2. A listing of all SAC members including who they represent; **Project Team Response:** Please find the most recent list of SAC members attached. 3. A copy of Dillon Consulting's presentation materials from February 28, 2008. I believe it was a PowerPoint presentation.	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				Project Team Response: The PowerPoint presentation from the February 28, 2008 SAC Meeting was emailed out to SAC members and interested participants on March 4, 2008 at 10:50 a.m. In the meantime, if you have any additional questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us.	
PIC Mar 5, 2008 Workbook	Technical Workplan We live at the S.W. corner of Waterdown Road and Mountain Blvd (residents of Burlington); if a traffic circle is implemented, how will it affect our property, driveway access, etc. and when will we know? If we could speak with someone as soon as details are known, we would appreciate it.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 5, 2008 Workbook	I cannot for the life of me understand who made the bonehead decision to build in this area with its close proximity to the Bruce Trail and escarpment. Since preferred option is to widen Waterdown Road to 4 lanes, King Rd. should be left alone re: widening; perhaps traffic light to control increase traffic is warranted.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 5, 2008 Workbook	Since preferred option is to widen Waterdown Road to 4 lanes, King Rd, should be left lane re:	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 5, 2008 Workbook	Please provide more details on public transportation plans. How many buses? Have you considered light rail down Waterdown Rd to Aldershot GO Station? Can Burlington/Hamilton councils request monies from prov. Infrastructure plans to off-set cost. Do development [diarges?] apply to providing for public transit?	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
PIC Mar 5, 2008 Workbook	While extensions to Waterdown Road are planned, are sidewalks proposed for the area North of the Service Road? (There is a proposal to build over 600 homes behind Nevare Dr (which runs off Craven) and North of Flatt Road. As well, Camis will relocate to the N.E. corner of the intersection of Waterdown Rd and the N Service Road.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 5, 2008 Workbook	Several residents of Nevarc Drive (which will be joined with Panin Road) wish to advise that we do not want the name of Nevarc Drive to change to Panin Road. A better alternative would be to re-name the road, to avoid the confusion of two road names. There is a Nursing/Care Home Facility at the top of Panin Road called Cama Woodlands. Perhaps the whole road could be re-named Cama Woodlands Drive .	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 5, 2008 Workbook	1) Are sidewalks planned along Waterdown Road from N. Service Road to [Ceabes?] Ave? This would give walking access to GO station for residents in area in Eagle Heights and Taylor Property. Also proposed CYNUS office building on North East corner of Service Road. 2) Residents of Nevarc Drive are concerned their road may be changed to Panin Rd. We do not want this. We suggest a new name for one road (Nevarc & Panin) such as Cama Woodlands Drive or just Woodlands Drive.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 5, 2008 Workbook	I live at X Waterdown Rd I have lived there sine 1964 and enjoyed the nature and the quietness. Now things are about to change. One thing that I would love to happen is if you could move the road quite a way's into the field opposite my house. I have had 12 deer on my property this winter and fear for thier life. IF the road is further into the field they might have a	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	chance! I also wouldn't have all the traffic noise and cars etc. coming into my property as this has happened before. I hope this proposal comes to pass not just for me but my neighborhood also. Thank you.				
PIC Mar 5, 2008 Workbook	X Waterdown Rd. - Parking Item - Service for sewer - Road Speed - Site Lines	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	Technical Plan: In my opinion your "work" won't work - this road/roads will never be wide enough! You/your planners should/must zone lands for work related uses/buildings so that residents would not have to travel to Toronto/Hamilton etc. Look 50 years ahead not 15 min!	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	Technical Plan: Assuming the studies are accurate, I think the plan is realistic and viable. It does not "cut-off" the town, allows options for travel, and will accommodate growth (for how long I don't know). It Waterdown, we already have bottlenecks and traffic jams. It is unfortunate for some of those directly affected but there are not many choices. Progress is important - we grow or we die. The King road fuss is much ado about nothing. Try a tunnel - it is done worldwide, otherwise widen it to make it safe. The quarry is [...] [...] and much of the escarpment has been saved. We need the access.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	Technical Plan: Garbage.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	Technical Plan: 1) I believe there will be a considerable amount of traffic connecting between the east/west and north/south routes using the residential streets in	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	between. I would like to see some estimate of these volumes. There is an obvious disconnect between these two routes that motorists will connect through their own initiative, probably using Burke and Boulding. 2) I would like to see more detail on how Parkside will cross the CPR Hamilton subdivision. Will it be grade separated? If so, will Parkside go over or under? 3) How is the wetlands trail going to cross the east/west route? Tunnel = security issues; bridge = bike and rollerblade issues.				
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	Technical Plan: The road plan does not satisfy the traffic needs of this community. 2 prior studies have identified the need for a proper bypass. Residential concerns (social environment) were not taken into consideration during the early stage of the study. [Barnes-Opta Coa?] quarry traffic. Phase 2 was approved by council before these issues could be addressed.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	Technical Plan: Please give careful consideration to the inclusion of sidewalks and bike lanes along Waterdown/Mountain Brow Roads.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	The Consultation and Outreach Plan: Stink! Your maps are just pictures - the roads (if they are noted) have names so small I/you can't read them 36" away! What have you to hide!	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	The Consultation and Outreach Plan: The evening was well planned and well laid out. Staff were courteous and helpful. The did not overreact to some 'temperamental' citizens who seemed bent on arguing. The aerial/satellite pictures with road overlays were especially helpful.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC	The Consultation and Outreach Plan: The study team	Waterdown-	Waterdown-	Comments were recorded	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	has at times delayed getting back to us with timely information requests. Sent us a table with our option several weeks after the council vote in Feb/07. There has been 3 different city employees on this project which makes it difficult to discuss prior concerns.	Aldershot Information	Aldershot Information		
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	The Consultation and Outreach Plan: It would be helpful to have a PIC with a formal presentation and explanation along with opportunity for questions.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	The Consultation and Outreach Plan: the More information sessions are needed.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	As per my petition filed for Craven/Nevarc Drive I have told R. Craven that we are in contact with the Ont. Munic. Board to divert the North Service Rd from our little sleepy dead end street! How many taxpayers here think their taxes are too low? Have anyone know how much this new highway from Waterdown to 403 highway is going to cost? The parking as the GO train station is now at full capacity and must be expanded at what cost? Special community Dev. Committee report #1 Mike Staresinic has warned the municipality that property value due to injurious affection could reach 7 million $ You the taxpayers will pay for it! And construction costs and maintenance for years to come! #5 more roads lead to more traffic The proposal by these so called consultants indicates that Waterdown Road connection to #5 Highway (Dundas St.) exits into a dead-end street in a residential survey! It should have connected to the Parkside Drive By-Pass at #5 Highway. These consultants ignored the existing railroad crossing on the 4th Con.line and Parkside Drive - this crossing could/should be a parking lot for	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	residents commuting to Hamilton or Toronto, to jump on or off in downtown Hamilton/Toronto at a high speed. Leave the car in Waterdown and save their gas money and parking charges. This existing railroad also crosses Waterdown Road and could/should be another commuter station c/w parking. It again crosses at the Snake road and could also be used as a commuter station c/w parking. Then it parallels the c/w tracks where these commuters could hop onto the GO Train! Or continue into Hamilton!				
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	Garbage. What happens to the town of Waterdown when traffic is diverted from 403 during rush hour?	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
PIC Mar 6, 2008 Workbook	Comment or consideration. We reside at the Southeast corner of Lasalle Park Road and Fairwood Place East (X Fairwood Place E.). Fairwood Place West which comes from the opposite direction to meet Lasalle Pk. The two streets Fairwood East and West are staggered, where they meet at Lasalle Park Road, which already presents a hazard. There is increase and will continually be a great increase in traffic flow through this area. My concern is we have 2 small children and there an be several pedestrians here when Aldershot HS is out. Perhaps a consideration of a fairway (4 way) or all way signage at this intersection for the safety of pedestrians and accident prevention.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Comments were recorded	
ID# 60 [redacted] Mar 7, 2008 Email	To Neutral Community Facilitator's Office and Diana Morreale at City of Hamilton Public Works Dept.: Hamilton Conservation Authority (HCA) has just recently received a copy of a letter from	Waterdown-Aldershot Information & Diana Morreale	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Mar 26, 2008 Email	Email was acknowledged Mar 10, 2008. Dear [redacted] Thank you for your e-mail dated March 7, 2008. We	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	Conservation Halton to the Neutral Community Facilitator's Office, dated March 6, 2008 advising that they have some concerns with the Phase 3 and 4 and that there has been no discussions to date between the Secondary Plan Team and the EA Study Team which includes Conservation Halton. We wish to advise that HCA is also part of this EA Study Team and we too have not been involved with these discussions. As well, a copy of the Phase 3 and 4 report was not submitted for our review. Please be advised that we have had problems in the past with not being circulated documents for review and have not been invited to some discussion meetings. We request that a copy of the report be submitted to HCA and that we be added to the circulation list if we were inadvertently removed.			have received a response from the project team and provide it below. The Project Partners are aware that Conservation Halton and Hamilton Conservation Authority are a part of the Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan (WATMP) EA Study Team. We also look forward to working with the two conservation authorities in the upcoming Phases (3 & 4). The Project Partners have finalized the Phase 2 Report for the WATMP, a copy of the final report will be sent to all agencies that have been a part of the EA Study Team. As Phase 2 of the Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan is now complete, the Study will proceed to Phases 3 and 4 to examine two distinct roadway projects. They are identified as the North-South Road (Waterdown Road) Class Environmental Assessment project and the East-West Road Class Environmental Assessment project. The Project Partners will continue to meet with the WATMP EA Study Team at key stages of the Phase 3 and 4 work. We look forward to HCA's continued participation in the next phases. Please note, the City of Hamilton staff meet once a month to discuss all studies that are going on in Waterdown. In addition to these monthly meetings staff working on the WATMP and the Waterdown South Secondary Plan meets regularly to ensure the two studies are coordinated with one another.	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				The project team will be contacting you in the next little while to set up agency consultation dates for Phase 3 work. In the meantime, if you have any additional questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us.	
No ID # [redacted] Mar 12, 2008 Email	Paul, Thank you for the updates at the public meeting last week. As discussed, you will be starting the detailed road plan assessment and will contact me as you get closer to evaluating the options that affect my home at #1 Flanders. I will await further updates as they become available.	To Dillon Forwarded to Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Dillon – Paul Macleod Mar 13, 2008 Email	[redacted] We will be in touch with you in the relatively near future to discuss your property. Paul	
ID# 67 [redacted] Mar 13, 2008 Phone	Caller wanted to verify that the Neutral Facilitator's office had received his NAC application by fax	Waterdown-Aldershot Information,	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Mar 13, 2008 Phone	The fax machine was checked and the caller received receipt confirmation of the application	
ID# 70 [redacted] Mar 13, 2008 Phone	Requested the presentation materials to be sent (mailed) as [redacted] was not able to attend the two PICs.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information,	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Mar 13, 2008 Phone	Hardcopies to be sent by March 20th, 2008	
ID# 72 [redacted]	Hi Sally:	Waterdown-Aldershot	Waterdown-Aldershot	Dear [redacted], Thank you for letting us know, March 21st will be fine.	

Responder	Response	Follow-up
Information Mar 14, 2008 Email		
Waterdown-Aldershot Information Apr 15, 2008	**RE: Phone Inquiry of March 17, 2008 (I.D.# 77)** Dear [redacted], Thank you for your phone inquiry dated March 17, 2008. The Project Team has provided the following responses: --- 1. Relating to your request for the specific sections of the Municipal Act relevant to an appeal to the OMB, please be advised that this request is outside of the mandate of this study. Municipal Infrastructure projects in Ontario require approval under the *Environmental Assessment Act (EAA)*. Approval can be obtained by proponents through planning and developing infrastructure projects in accordance with the Municipal Engineers Association's Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA). There are appeal provisions under the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment process for the Waterdown Road Class EA. If there are major concerns, the City will attempt to negotiate a resolution of the issues. A mutually acceptable time period for this negotiation will be set.	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted] [redacted] Oct 22, 2008 Email	To echo both Rick and Alex, I would also support the request for updated information on the East West and North South proposed routes. With so many months going by between meetings, it is difficult for the community to keep on top of all the outstanding issues from one meeting to the next. Planning and decisions on other related projects i.e. TRMP are moving forward in tandem with this project - it is essential the information is shared and planning aligned where necessary. I also want to compliment the specific neighbourhood groups who have been dedicated to this project for many years, the committee is reliant on them to continually unpack the information for gaps that will affect all of us. They are doing yeoman's work on our behalf. Sally, I would ask and suggest, that with due respect, as the information was supposed to be to us by September, it is only appropriate that the information requested is made available to the NAC members before the next meeting on Tuesday Oct. 28th. Our community will be changed forever, and we all feel a great sense of responsibility as stewards on the committee to challenge outstanding issues. We only have one round of pre-planning to get it right, we appreciate your assistance to keep us informed as promised.	Aldershot Information	Aldershot Information Oct 28, 2008	on October 28, 2008.	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	Regards, [redacted]				
ID# 240 [redacted] [redacted] Oct. 23, 2008 Mail (Letter + Diagram)	I live at the X, (on attached map) X George St. Red highlight (on map) would better serve Eastbound traffic and Northbound traffic. Westbound traffic will probably still stay on Waterdown Rd. to Dundas. Stop lights on Dundas, at rush hours, should be either advanced or extended. Red highlight would better future expansion of King Rd. Who knows even someday Keens road could have an extension to the west and join into the red highlight. [redacted]	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 6, 2009 Mail	**RE: ID# 240** Thank you for your letter received October 23, 2008 with your suggestion to consider connecting the new east-west road and the new/improved Waterdown Road. Please find below a response from the Project Team. **Project Team Response**: We have received many comments regarding a connection between the new east-west road and the new/improved Waterdown Road. Although many people have suggested the need for a full by-pass route, traffic modeling shows that this is not required. The two roads systems are essentially independent of each other and serve different users. The new east-west road addresses traffic capacity in that direction. People travelling from the new Waterdown North development would travel over to Hwy 6 and then south to Hwy 403. Alternatively, the primary users of the improved Waterdown Road would be the existing residents of Waterdown and of the new Waterdown South development. The model shows that there would be minimal traffic volume that would utilize the new east-west	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				road to access Waterdown Road, as this would require traffic to backtrack. Dundas Street can accommodate the few vehicles that do follow this route. We have attached a map showing the current preferred East-West route. You will note that it does not recommend utilizing Evans Road, but provides for a new roadway, south of Parkside through the Upcountry Estates property. We appreciate your input to this project. Sincerely, Patricia Halajski née Prokop on behalf of Sally M. Leppard	
ID# 242 [redacted] Oct 23, 2008 Email	Sally, I must comment. I echo [redacted] I have observed, participated and experienced this process from the start. There is no process other than to fulfill the requirements of "meetings", "PICs" and established "protocols". There is, has been, and will likely be, no public input implemented. I have yet to see a public suggestion be implemented with any substance. We have tirelessly watched out-of-town consultants conclude on what is best for our neighborhoods.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Sally Leppard Oct 27, 2008	Letter from NAC – Oct 08 (see ID# 241 Response in RB-Section) See also ID# 264 (GP Section)	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	the Phase 2 Report then addressed, and in some cases, incorporated into the final report factually inaccurate. I trust you will deliver the above comments to the appropriate representatives promptly. Regards, [redacted]				
ID# 243 [redacted] Oct 23, 2008 Email	Hi Sally, Ditto what Michael has stated. I too feel that this process is just being conducted because it is the process and that the outcome was decided long ago. I have really had my eyes opened up as to how our government really works and I'm most disappointed. I also just read a newsletter where Rick Craven our councilor promoted the fact that the NAC members were involved in the design process and discussions but I feel what we contributed will not be considered and I don't want people thinking I had anything to do with their new four lane highway truck route so I'm seriously thinking of dropping out of the NAC process. I will attend the next meeting and perhaps we can all hash out some of these issues. I truly believe that our elected officials are not representing THEIR PEOPLE very well at all. I think in this day and age to be adding roads and congestion in a community that can't sustain itself is just irresponsible planning and I'm sure others feel the same way. I thought our city officials were smarter than that I guess I was wrong all along. It is the city not the residents that don't want change and I think it is high time we did change how we plan our communities before all	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Sally Leppard Oct 27, 2008	Letter from NAC Oct 08 (see ID# 241 Response in RB-Section)	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	These are people that have no vested interest in working with those immediately affected. And unfortunately, city representatives have shown little difference. I have yet to be contacted directly by Dillon to discuss the implications of the proposed Waterdown Road widening to my property. This was promised to me. I have also listened to Burlington city representatives comment that the reason for not entertaining narrower lanes and reduced speed limits on the proposed Waterdown Road was because of the "expected speeds" and "expected volumes" of traffic on the new road; heart-warming to those who live here and were preached to about how traffic-calming measures and traffic-demand measures would be implemented and how Waterdown Road is not "expected to be used as a truck route". What we have experienced as a community is a conclusion that was set at the start, with no regard to public input, manipulation of data, only to be back-filled after public outcry. A slick example of this is the black-lined version of the Phase 2 Final Report, of which no council saw or approved. Only a draft Phase 2 Report was ever tabled. I attach this final report, which was requested and then received 4 + months after the fact. It is very interesting how much this report did change, although city staff and Dillon indicated it didn't. It was only after public outcry were material facts in				

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	our valuable land is destroyed. It is funny one government introduces legislation to protect land (Greenbelt, OPA2, Burlington's Official Plan) and another introduces legislation to override it. Why then do we create the policies in the first place perhaps it is just to obtain votes near elections or just after them. [redacted] Waterdown Road				
ID# 244 [redacted] Oct. 24, 2008 Email	Dear S. Banuri and P. Macleod, I would like to express my opinion about the expansion of Waterdown Road. My husband and I are avid runners and bikers. We use Waterdown Road frequently in our workouts as do countless other bikers and runners. I hope in your expansion plans there are considerations for a sidewalk/bike path to be used by the people that go up and down that road daily. Thank you, [redacted]	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 9, 2009 Email	Email was acknowledged Oct 24, 2008. Dear [redacted] Thank you for your e-mail dated October 24, 2008. We have obtained a response from the Project Team to your inquiry, and have inserted it directly into your e-mail below. The Project Team has responded to your email in blue. *I would like to express my opinion about the expansion of Waterdown Road. My husband and I are avid runners and bikers. We use Waterdown Road frequently in our workouts as do countless other bikers and runners. I hope in your expansion plans there are considerations for a sidewalk/bike path to be used by the people that go up and down that road daily.* **Response**: Currently we are proposing sidewalks along both sides of Waterdown Road. This will be finalized with Project Partners. The viability of also placing a	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				multi-use pathway along the west side of the road is being assessed. Kind regards,Patricia Halajski née Prokop on behalf of Sally Leppard,	
ID# 245 [redacted] Oct 24, 2008 Phone	Spectator has a PIC notice but resident cannot read the maps as they are blurry. He requested to be sent an enlarged and clearer map.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 3, 2009 Mail	Map was sent via mail.	
ID# 247 [redacted] Oct 24, 2008 Phone	Will there be a connection from King Rd. to Dundas Street? Have you given up on the idea? Maybe the team can tunnel under the escarpment	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Dec 4, 2008 Mail	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your phone call dated October 24, 2008. We have obtained a response to your inquiry from the Project Team, and have provided it in blue below. *Will there be a connection from King Rd. to Dundas Street? Have you given up on the idea? Maybe the team can tunnel under the escarpment?* Response: On behalf of the City of Burlington, Dillon Consulting is reviewing possible improvements to the existing King Road crossing of the escarpment. This review is to address road safety issues. At this time the Project Team is not proposing that King Road be extended to Dundas Street, although the internal road network of the Waterdown South development may allow for better connections between Mountain Brow and Dundas Street.	
ID# 248 [redacted]	Hello Sally: As a tax-payer I also echo the comments that Julie Martin and Michael Staresinic have put forth in	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Letter from NAC Oct 08 (see ID# 241 Response in RB-Section)	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
Oct 24, 2008 Email	support of Rick Breznik and the hard work he has put forth. I have also noticed that our elected officials and want to be elected ones are sitting quietly while Rick and his committee are spending countless hours of work in trying to get answers on issues that affect the community of Waterdown and area. May I add that is all being done without pay!! I must suggest that you answer the concerns put forth by Rick Breznik and his team who is acting on the behalf of our community. If you can not please forward these issues to someone who can or at least put forth for a discussion to the community well in advance of any approval. Enough is enough!!! As a construction worker and resident of Waterdown who is not affected directly by the proposed 4 lane route, can not see as to why this proposed route would improve the congestion through Waterdown. Why was this route proposed when said route should have been up King Road, which then would connect at Evens Road and Highway 5. Why would I want to exit off 403 westbound onto Waterdown Road North only to travel East again at the "mad circle" (dangerous) and travel North again to Highway 5 (Dundas) to which I have to stop at and "intersection" only to travel East again to another "intersection" to make connection to the proposed future bypass? Than I must travel North again to bypass Waterdown/?? Why?? Sorry for the long sentence but that is the proposed direction put forth. This is one direction I would not take especially		Oct 27, 2008		

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	with the cost of fuel. What a costly mess!! I urge all that are reading this to please put your forth thoughts in support of Rick Breznik. [redacted]				
ID# 254 [redacted] Oct 28, 2008 Two emails	Please let me know where and when for tonight's meeting. – I am planning on attending but don't know where it is. Thank you, [redacted] Patricia – thank you.. Also, as I work in downtown Toronto, the earliest I can be at the meeting is 7:30pm, could you please ask that the petition I have submitted be on the agenda for after 8pm. I've been advised that it has been included in the agenda for tonight. Thank you. [redacted]	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information, Oct 28, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] Please note tonight's meeting of the East-West Neighbourhood Advisory Committee (NAC) will be held from 6:30 pm - 9:00 pm at St. Thomas Church (715 Centre Road, Waterdown). Kind regards, Patricia Halajski née Prokop on behalf of Sally Leppard Petition was discussed at East-West NAC meeting after 8:00 p.m.	
ID# 255 & 255b [redacted] Oct 28, 2008 Two Emails	I am not sure if I can make the meeting tonight. Is there going to be a public meeting (PIC) in the upcoming weeks, where I can discuss my issues with the project team? Also, can you send an agenda for tonight's meeting? Thanks. --- 255b Can you also provide a copy of the handouts for tonight's meeting including the alignment alternatives and locations and anything else that may be referenced.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Oct 28, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your email. Please note there will be two Public Information Centres (PICs) in early November: East-West focused Public Information Centre (PIC) Wednesday, November 5, 2008 6:00 pm - 8:30 pm St. Thomas the Apostle Church, 715 Centre Road, Waterdown North-South focused Public Information Centre (PIC) Thursday, November 6, 2008 6:00 pm - 8:30 pm Crossroads Center, 1295 North Service Road, Burlington	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
			---------- - Part B Waterdown-Aldershot Information Nov 5, 2008 Email	Also, please find attached the agenda for tonight's East-West Neighbourhood Advisory Committee (NAC) Meeting. Kind regards, Patricia Halajski née Prokop on behalf of Sally Leppard ---------- Dear [redacted] Please find attached the "Update to NAC for the Proposed New East-West Corridor - Alternatives Review" as promised. Kind regards, Patricia Halajski née Prokop on behalf of Sally Leppard Attachment: Waterdown EW Road Alignment Alternatives – NAC Memo Final October 27 2008 (2)	
ID# 256 [redacted] Oct 28, 2008 Email	Would you kindly provide me with further information regarding what details go into the environmental study reports and what public input was sought? I'm also interested in where the subwatershed study fits into this process and who can discuss it in more layman's detail, particularly with regard to possible environmental mitigation measures. At what point do we discuss ways to protect the stream, ESAs and wildlife from encroachment? I've been hearing that's "next step" for years now. My fear is that one day I'll wake up and it was "last step".	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Nov 5, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your email dated October 28, 2008. We have obtained a response to your inquiry from the Project Team, and have provided it in blue below. --- *Would you kindly provide me with further information regarding what details go into the environmental study reports and what public input was sought? I'm also interested in where the subwatershed study fits into this process and who can discuss it in more*	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	Regards, [redacted]			*layman's detail, particularly with regard to possible environmental mitigation measures.* *At what point do we discuss ways to protect the stream, ESAs and wildlife from encroachment? I've been hearing that's "next step" for years now. My fear is that one day I'll wake up and it was "last step".* **Project Team Response**: The Environmental Study Report (ESR) will document the natural environment data/information that has been collected through reviews of background information, discussions with agencies and field survey results. The draft South Waterdown Subwatershed Study was reviewed as a background report as part of this process. Potential effects will be described and mitigation measures recommended. The ESR will be available for the public review and comment. As well, we expect that various agencies such as the Niagara Escarpment Commission, the Ministry of Natural Resources and Conservation Authorities will be particularly interested in the proposed mitigation measures. Additional permitting requirements from these agencies will result in further review of these issues as a post Environmental Assessment activity, at the detailed design stage. Mitigation measures will be proposed to address the issues you raise including ways to protect the stream, ESAs and wildlife from road encroachment. If you would like to discuss these issues in detail and/or provide your comments we could have the project biologist contact you. Please let us know if you would like to have this discussion.	
			---------- - Mar 3, 2009 Email		

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				---------- -- Geotechnical Report was sent via email and a link to the The Natural Environment Inventory Report is available on the following ftp site. **ftp://NCFO+lura%2Eca:NCFO2009@ftp.lura.ca**	
ID# 257 [redacted] Oct 28, 2008 2 Emails	Original email: request for NS NAC materials ---------- -- Follow-up email Oct 28: Yes I got the notice of the meeting and will be there, but there do not appear to be any materials yet? I also got this a.m.'s pdf file [redacted]	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information, Oct 28, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted], Our apologies. Our office has sent the letter to you this morning. We have checked our files, and you were sent the October 14, 2008 agenda with the details of this Thursday's NAC meeting. Please let me know if you received this. Regards, Sally Leppard	
ID# 258 [redacted] Oct 28, 2008 In person at NAC	Request for info on truck routes and quarries Follow-up email: Patricia Thank you for the prompt follow-up to my request. I very much appreciate it. Thank you [redacted]	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information, Oct 29, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted], As per your discussion with Danny Stone from the City of Hamilton, please find the requested files attached. The truck route study contact is Gary Kirchknop. He can be reached at 905-546-2424 extension 7217, or by email: Gary.Kirchknop@hamilton.ca Kind regards, Patricia Halajski née Prokop	
ID# 259 [redacted] Oct 30, 2008 2 Emails	Hi. Is there a document package for the meeting this evening (other than the agenda)? Thanks, [redacted] ---------- Thanks, I would appreciate seeing them electronically in advance of the meeting.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information, Oct 30, 2008 2 Emails	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your email. All of the documents will be available at the meeting this evening. If you would like receive electronic copies of the materials please let us know. Regards, Liz Nield on behalf of Sally Leppard	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				---------- -- Dear [redacted] We do not currently have all of the documents electronically available – also the maps are too large to send. We will work on getting the materials to you after the meeting this evening. Thank you. Liz Nield	
ID# 261 [redacted] Oct 31, 2008 Email	Hi Liz. Can u email me the photos or "plates" that were displayed last night. I would like to forward them to the cycling committee for additional feedback. In particular, I am interested in plates 4, 5 & 7. Thanks [redacted]	Liz Nield Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information, Nov 14, 2008 Mail	Materials were sent via CD by NCFO	
ID# 262 [redacted] Oct 31, 2008 Email	Hi I will not be able to make it to the open houses but do want to comment. It appears that the option to create a new right-of-way parallel to Waterdown Rd is no longer being considered. I am quite disappointed in this as It makes so much sense to me. It allows the old road to be left as a quiet residential side street with access to the planned new development and at the same time could make a limited access road between Waterdown and Aldershot. With the amount of traffic that is and will be using this new roadway it will be highly desirable to minimize the number of private drives that access directly to the road. The land is	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Dec 4, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your email dated October 31, 2008. We have obtained a response to your inquiry from the Project Team, and have provided it in blue below. ---------- *It appears that the option to create a new right-of-way parallel to Waterdown Rd is no longer being considered. I am quite disappointed in this as It makes so much sense to me. It allows the old road to be left as a quiet residential side street with access to the planned new development and at the same time*	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	sitting there wide open to do this alternative route now! And I suspect that it will be cheaper than dealing with so many private landowners on Waterdown Rd. I would also request that serious consideration be given to a traffic light at the intersection of Flatt Rd and Waterdown Rd. Currently this is a very busy intersection and has seen more than its share of motor vehicle accidents, including fatalities. The wait to get off Flatt Rd and on to Waterdown Rd can seem interminable especially during rush hour. Also the sight lines are not good. Thanks [redacted]			*could make a limited access road between Waterdown and Aldershot. With the amount of traffic that is and will be using this new roadway it will be highly desirable to minimize the number of private drives that access directly to the road. The land is sitting there wide open to do this alternative route now! And I suspect that it will be cheaper than dealing with so many private landowners on Waterdown Rd.* *I would also request that serious consideration be given to a traffic light at the intersection of Flatt Rd and Waterdown Rd. Currently this is a very busy intersection and has seen more than its share of motor vehicle accidents, including fatalities. The wait to get off Flatt Rd and on to Waterdown Rd can seem interminable especially during rush hour. Also the sight lines are not good.* **Project Team Response**: The decision to move the alignment of the roadway to the west of Waterdown Road into the development lands (north of Flatt Road) is still being reviewed by the Project Partners. A meeting is planned with the owner of these development lands. As you suggested, the possibility of traffic signals or a round-about are being considered for the Waterdown Road / Flatt Road intersection.	
ID# 264 [redacted] Nov 2, 2008 Email	Sally, I wanted you to have a copy of this direct email to Dillon.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information forwarded by Dillon	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Jan 5, 2009	Email was acknowledged Nov 19, 2008. Dear [redacted] Further to your e-mail dated October 23, 2008 (ID#	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	It would be appreciated if you could also communicate this through your own direct channels. Sincerely, [redacted] Begin forwarded message: **From:** [redacted] **Date:** October 31, 2008 **Subject: X Waterdown Road Property Assessment** Paul / Don, Given the new information that was presented last night at the NAC and following up on a previous request, I would like to spend some time on the direct impacts to our property. As afforded to other residents on Waterdown Road, I would like to meet to discuss the specific impacts to X Waterdown Road and what mitigation measures can be implemented to lessen the effect of the proposed route. Up until last night, the representations made to me throughout this process is that my frontage would not be impacted. Last night illustrated a very different picture. All the mature trees that line the front of my house which provide a visual and noise barrier to the road are proposed to be removed to allow for the road and sidewalk. This is of great concern.	Consulting		242), your comments were passed on to members of the Project Team. In addition, we are following up with Dillon Consulting regarding your request for a discussion with them as requested in your e-mail of November 2, 2008 (ID#264) Your concerns relating to the integrity of the consultation process may have partially been addressed in my letter to NAC Members dated October 27, 2008. Kind regards, Sally --- -- Dillon has been in direct contact with [redacted]	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
---------- - [redacted] [redacted] Jan 5, 2009 Email	Our home is already one of the closest houses to the existing street and is also located in the most narrow part of the road. My preference would be to have this meeting prior to the PIC next week and sooner is better than later. Please confirm receipt of this email and my phone number to arrange a meeting Regards,[redacted] ---------- -- Thanks Sally. ---------- ----------Thanks Sally, Given the delay between this request, previous requests and their respective responses, I dealt with my concerns directly. The forum that was set up for fluid information exchange, although with the best intentions, is horribly ineffective. My apologies Sally, although I will continue to keep you abreast of my concerns, I will now				

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	directly deal with the project partners, rightly putting the onus on them to promptly reply. Regards, [redacted]				
ID# 268 [redacted] In person at NAC meeting Oct 28, 2008	Request for information about truck routes	City of Hamilton, Danny Stone	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Nov 11, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] Please find attached the Transportation Memo and accompanying map, as per your discussion with Danny Stone from the City of Hamilton. Kind regards, Patricia Halajski née Prokop on behalf of Sally Leppard	
ID# 269 [redacted] (AGENCY) Nov 4, 2008 Email	Please see attached letter. Thank you. Hoeun Heng [redacted] Attached letter, with map, reads: November 3, 2008 To Whom It May Concern, **RE: ORC Initial Comments on Notice of PIC Class EA, New east-west corridor and Waterdown Road corridor** Thank you for circulating Ontario Realty Corporation (ORC) on your Public Information Centre. The ORC is the strategic manager of the government's real property with a mandate of maintaining and optimizing value of the portfolio, while ensuring real estate decisions reflect public policy objectives of the government. Our preliminary review of your notice and supporting information indicates that ORC-managed property is directly in the study area. As a result, your proposal may have the potential to impact this property and/or the activities of tenants present on ORC-managed lands. Attached please find a map	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Dec 4, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your letter dated November 3, 2008. We have obtained a response to your inquiry from the Project Team, and have provided it in blue below. **Project Team Response**: Thank you for your letter and interest in the Waterdown Road Class Environmental Assessment. In reviewing the information you provided, it would appear that Waterdown Road crosses two power transmission line corridors that are under the mandate of the Ontario Realty Corporation (ORC). We have not identified any other lands to be required from the ORC. As it is proposed that Waterdown Road be widened from two to four lanes, there could be the need for lands contained within these power transmission corridors. We are in the process of confirming property needs along the entire length of roadway. Once this has been confirmed, we will contact you to advise of the land requirement and	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	that identifies this property to assist you in identifying and avoiding potential impacts. **Potential Negative Impacts to ORC Tenants and Lands** **General Impacts** Negative environmental impacts associated with the project design and construction, such as the potential for dewatering, dust, noise and vibration impacts, and impacts to natural heritage features/habitat and functions, should be avoided and/or appropriately mitigated in accordance with applicable regulations best practices and MNR and MOE standards. Avoidance and mitigation options that characterize baseline conditions and quantify the potential impacts should be present as part of the EA project file. Details of appropriate mitigation, contingency plans and triggers for implementing contingency plans should also be present. **Impacts to Land holdings** Negative impacts to land holdings, such as the taking of developable parcels of ORC managed land or fragmentation of utility or transportation corridors, should be avoided. If the potential for such impacts is present as part of this undertaking, you should contact the undersigned to discuss these issues at the earliest possible stage of your study. If takings are suggested as part of any alternative these should be appropriately mapped and quantified within EA report documentation. In addition, details of appropriate mitigation and or next steps related to compensation for any required takings should be			to discuss the process to facilitate this. Kind regards, Patricia Halajski née Prokop on behalf of Sally Leppard,	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	present. ORC requests circulation of the draft EA report prior to finalization if potential impacts to ORC managed lands are present as part of this study. **Cultural Heritage Issues** If proposed alternatives may impact cultural heritage features on ORC managed lands, we would request that the examination of cultural heritage features be enhanced to include issues such as cultural landscapes, archaeology and places of sacred and secular value. **Potential Triggers Related to ORC's Class EA** The ORC Class Environmental Assessment (ORC Class EA) applies to a range of realty and planning activities including leasing or letting, planning approvals, selling, demolition and property maintenance/repair. For details on the ORC Class EA please visit the Environment and Heritage page of our website found at http://www.orc.on.ca/Page133.aspx. If the ORC Class EA is triggered, consideration should be given to explicitly referring to the ORC's undertaking in your EA study. The purchase of ORC lands or disposal of rights and responsibilities (e.g. easement) for ORC lands triggers the ORC's Class EA. If any of these are being proposed as part of any alternative, please contact the Sales and Marketing Group through ORC's main line (Phone: 416-327-3937, Toll Free: 1-877-863-9672) at your earliest convenience to discuss next steps. The undertaking of physical work on ORC lands also triggers the ORC Class EA. If any work is proposed on				

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
	ORC lands, please contact the undersigned at your earliest convenience to discuss next steps. **Specific Comments** Please note that various government lands, managed by ORC and Hydro One, are in the study area. Please contact ORC and Hydro One for policies and processes. **Concluding Comments** Thank you for the opportunity to provide initial comments on this undertaking. If you have any questions on the above I can be reached at the contacts below. Sincerely, [redacted] Environmental Coordinator Ontario Realty Corporation - Professional Services				
ID# 272 [redacted] Nov 5, 2008 Email	Greetings, Thank you for sending me the Waterdown News by email and through Canada Post. Please do accept my request to no longer send me the newsletter through Canada Post, as the email letter is sufficient. The land address to be deleted is: X Thanks very much, Jim Pot	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	None	*NCFO removed the contact from the database.*	
ID# 273 [redacted] Nov 4, 2008 Phone	What is the PIC process? Will the proposed route have any impacts on our property on X Hamilton St?	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Dec 4, 2008 Mail	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your phone call dated November 4, 2008. We have obtained a response to your inquiry from the Project Team, and have provided it in blue below.	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
[redacted]				Will the proposed route have any impacts on the UPI Energy property located at X Hamilton Street? What is the PIC process? **Project Team Response**: The proposed new east-west road will have no impact on your property located at X Hamilton Street. PICs or Pubic Information Centres are held to provide the public with project information and updates and to provide an opportunity for community feedback. There have been three rounds of PICs held in 2008 regarding the Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan. For more information on the Waterdown/Aldershot Transportation Master Plan and materials presented at past PICs, please visit www.hamilton.ca/waterdowntmp.	
ID# 275 [redacted] Nov 5, 2008 (In person at PIC)	Written request for NFCO contact information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Nov 7, 2008 Mail	Dear [redacted] Thank you for attending the East-West Road Class EA Public Information Centre on November 5, 2008 in Waterdown. As per your request please find the contact information for the Neutral Community Facilitator's Office below, and a comment form attached. Kind regards,Patricia Halajski on behalf of Sally Leppard	
ID# 276 [redacted] Nov 5, 2008	Written request for NFCO contact information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Nov 7, 2008	Dear [redacted] Thank you for attending the East-West Road Class EA Public Information Centre on November 5, 2008 in	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
(In person at PIC)			Mail	Waterdown. As per your request please find the contact information for the Neutral Community Facilitator's Office below, and a comment form attached. Kind regards,Patricia Halajski on behalf of Sally Leppard	
ID# 277 [redacted] [redacted] (Flamborough Review) Nov 10, 2008 Phone	She would like to receive copies of the materials for the PICs and the number of people that attended at the meetings.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Nov 7, 2008 (Phone & Mail)	Response of people attending the PIC was given over the phone. Materials were sent via CD in the mail Nov 14, 2008	
ID# 278 [redacted] [redacted] Oct 30, 2008 Comment form at NAC meeting	As far as we are concerned, the only alignment option is to have the Mid-Block Road align with the East-West portion of the road. Highway # 5 is already backed up to Evans Rd and now you have a full 403 interchange emptying onto # 5 highway. What are you doing as for road improvements to discourage traffic from using Mill St and Main Street. Speed limits, stop signs, speed bumps. Mill St. N does have a school on the West side of the street. What is the reason for not aligning East-West and North-South links? Margaret McCarthy wants East-West and North-South alignment. Who made the decision of the present alignment. You can email me the answers to theses questions please. Thank you.	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Nov 7, 2008 Email	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your comments dated October 30, 2008. We have obtained a response to your comments from the Project Team, and have provided it in blue below. **Project Team Response:** As part of this project, no measures are being proposed to discourage the use of Mill Street. The use of this roadway will be monitored once the new connection to Dundas Street is completed. The use of signs to restrict the use of Mill Street could be used if needed. We have received many comments regarding the connection (or lack of) between the new east-west road and the new/improved Waterdown Road. Although many people have suggested the need for a full by-pass route, the traffic modeling shows that this	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				is not in fact required. The two roads systems are essentially independent of each other and serve different users. The new east-west road addresses traffic capacity in that direction. People starting from the new Waterdown north development would not use this road to travel to Waterdown Road/Hwy 403 but rather would travel over to Hwy 6 and then south. Alternatively, the primary users of the improved Waterdown Road would be the existing residents of Waterdown and in the new Waterdown South development. The model shows that there would be minimal traffic volume that continues along the new east-west road only having to backtrack west to access Waterdown Road. Dundas Street can accommodate the few vehicles that do follow this route. We have attached a map showing the current preferred East-West route. You will note that it does not recommend utilizing Evans Road, but provides for a new roadway, south of Parkside through the Upcountry Estates property. Kind regards,Patricia Halajski née Prokop on behalf of Sally Leppard,	
ID# 295 [redacted] Nov 5, 2008 In-person	Would like to see further details with respect to road widening to be taken from his property	Forwarded to Waterdown-Aldershot Information by Christine-Lee-Morrison	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 11, 2009 Mail	Please see Response to ID# 310 (GP-Section)	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
ID# 296 [redacted] Nov 5, 2008 In-person	Would like to see further details with respect to road widening to be taken from his property	Forwarded to Waterdown-Aldershot Information by Christine-Lee-Morrison	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 11, 2009 Mail	Dear [redacted] Thank you for your inquiry dated November 5, 2008. We have obtained a response from the Project Team to your inquiry, and have provided the response below. The Project Team has responded in blue. Question: Please provide details with respect to road widening to be taken from properties along Parkside Drive. **Project Team Response**: Dillon Consulting will be making adjustments to the proposed east-west road alignment based on public and agency comments. We will provide an updated plan to interested residents in late February or early March 2009. Kind regards, Patricia Halajski née Prokop on behalf of Sally Leppard	
ID# 298 [redacted] Nov 5, 2008 In-person	Would like to see further details with respect to road widening to be taken from his property	Forwarded to Waterdown-Aldershot Information by Christine-Lee-Morrison	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 11, 2009 Mail & Phone	Please see ID# 388 (GP-Section) Response	
ID# 299 [redacted] Nov 5, 2008 In-person	Would like to see further details with respect to road widening to be taken from his property	Forwarded to Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Feb 26, 2009 Mail	Dear [redacted] **RE: ID# 299** In reference to your conversation with Christine Lee-	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
		by Christine-Lee-Morrison		Morrison, of the City of Hamilton, on November 5, 2008, at the Public Information Centre (PIC), we are pleased to provide a response from the Project Team as follows. *When will the tower be built and at what location?* **Project Team Response**: The Waterdown North Secondary Plan was developed through an integrated process to deal with a number of issues including servicing. The Waterdown North Secondary Plan has been adopted by City Council and was appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB). The majority of the Secondary Plan was approved through a decision from the OMB in June, 2008. In October 2008, a second OMB hearing was held on an appeal related to specific policies in the Secondary Plan. The OMB has now issued a decision, and the entire Waterdown North Secondary Plan is now in effect. No building permits can be issued until the water tower has been constructed and is operational. Please visit the project website www.hamilton.ca/waterdownnorth for details. You may wish to view the report to Economic Development and Planning Committee, located under the links on the right hand side of the screen. Please refer to pages 22 and 27 of the report. Here is the link to the Waterdown North Secondary Plan Policies - http://www.myhamilton.ca/NR/rdonlyres/256CC9A0-2453-4C88-82C5-	

Correspondent	Issue/Concern	To	Responder	Response	Follow-up
				B5237058D959/0/WNPED07092AppA.pdf Here is the link to the final adopted land use plan - http://www.myhamilton.ca/NR/rdonlyres/F058BEAB-7CD3-4808-9D3E-EAF71E779156/0/WNPED07092AppASchB.pdf If you cannot access the website please do not hesitate to let us know. The project manager for the Waterdown North Secondary Plan is: **Brenda Khes, Senior Project Manager** Community Planning and Design Planning and Economic Development City of Hamilton 77 James Street North, Suite 250 Hamilton, ON L8R 2K3 Phone: (905) 546 - 2424 Ext. 1224 Fax: (905) 643 - 7250 Email: bkhes@hamilton.ca By copy of this letter, we are requesting that the City's project manager contact you to provide you with updated information.	
ID# 302 [redacted] Nov 12, 2008 Email	**Subject:** P98019 WATMP North South focused PIC We represent Paletta International Corporation, owners of the land on the west side of Waterdown Road north of Flatt Rd to be developed under the name Eagle Heights. We attended the Nov 6th PIC and following the meeting requested detail plans of the proposed alignment from Dillon. We have not yet received those plans which we need to assess the	Waterdown-Aldershot Information	Waterdown-Aldershot Information Nov 19, 2008 Mail	Materials of the North-South PIC were sent via mail.	

	Follow-up
2009.	

421	15-Jul-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Relates to ID# 417 & 413. Concerns about road grade wrt noise. Spoke to Clr. McCarthy about fence for noise mitigation.	Relates to ID# 417 & 413. Concerns about road grade wrt noise. Spoke to Clr. McCarthy about fence for noise mitigation.	COH to respond.	Complete	Already answered.	30-Jul-09
422	18-Jul-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Concerned that if mtg is scheduled after ESR released, the residents don't have an opportunity to request changes.	Concerned that if mtg is scheduled after ESR released, the residents don't have an opportunity to request changes.	COH to respond.	Complete	Meeting moved to Sept. Comment moot.	N/A
423	31-Jul-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Noise wall for Parkside residents.	Relates to ID# 403. Response to receiving draft PDRA meeting notes.	No response req'd.	Complete		N/A
424	11-Aug-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Councillor's offc	Bike lanes & GO Transit.	Moving to Waterdown. Wants to ride bike to Aldershot GO.	Clr's Offc responded 8-Aug-09. Outstanding: Date of construction.	Complete		
425	25-Aug-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Timing of E/W construction.	Parkside resident wants to know timing of planning & construction and impacts on his property.				
426	10-Sep-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Hunter Park Residents' mtg	Comments on meeting	No response req'd	Filed		N/A
427	15-Sep-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Councillor's offc	Traffic safety.	re ID# 227 & 346 - No response for 7 mos.	COH to respond.	Complete		17-Sep-09
428	22-Sep-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Release of report.	Wants update on release of Phase 3/4 report.				
429	28-Sep-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Hunter Park Residents' mtg	Provided comments on mtg notes & response on action items by mid Oct.		Complete		
430	6-Sep-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to DM	Overview of project	Considering home purchase in Waterdown. Requests info on Parkside, Mt. Brow & Waterdown Rd plans.	DM to respond	Complete		8-Sep-09
431	?	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to DM	Waterdown Road/Waterdown South	Qs pertaining to Bruce Trail crossings & stormwater management.	DM to respond	Complete	Waterdown Rd/Mt. Brow plates sent.	9-Sep-09
432	17-Sep-09	Public	[redacted]	Call ? To Dillon	Hwy 6 intersection	Reguested current plan of Hwy 6 & E/W intersection	Dillon to respond	Complete	Hwy 6 & E/W intersection plates mailed	18-Sep-09
433	2-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Hunter Park Residents' mtg	Added comments to meeting notes.	No response req'd	Filed		2-Oct-09
434	7-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	X-sect of Parkside. Relates to ID#s 413 & 417.	3rd request for X-section.	Dillon	Complete		30-Oct-09

435	7-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Noise mitigation fence.	Requests cost of noise mitigation fence.	DM to respond Outstanding: Question of Hollybush fence ownership.	Complete		30-Oct-09
436	16-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Hunter Park Residents' mtg outstanding business.	Promised reply to questions by mid-Oct.	DM & Paul to respond.	Complete		5-Nov-09
437	18-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 436	Was 10/18 e/m (ID# 436) rec'd?	PH to respond	Complete	PPs putting final pieces together. Response likely by end of wk.	19-Oct-09
438	23-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Hunter Park Residents' mtg outstanding business.	Thanks for the Acoustic Impact Assess't. When will other Qs be answ?	DM to respond	Complete		5-Nov-09
439	28-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Meeting handouts	Would like copy of handouts from mtg at St. Matthew's Church, Aldershot on 10/27.	Burlington to respond	Fwded	DM to fwd to Burlington.	9-Dec-09
440	28-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Hunter Park Residents' mtg outstanding business.	Thanks for notification of Master Truck Route meetings. When will other Qs be answ?	DM to respond	Complete		5-Nov-09
441	29-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Light standards & Hawthorne tree	Haven't rec'd reply from Oct 7 e-mails & others dating back to Nov 9/08.	DM to respond	Complete	As stated in Mar 12/09 response, light spill can be addressed in design phase.	30-Oct-09
442	29-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Noise mitigation fence.	2nd request (see ID# 435) & relates to ID#391.	DM to respond	Complete	Ref to March 12 corresp. Will check on ownership.	30-Oct-09
443	29-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	X-sect of Parkside. Relates to ID#s 413, 417 & 434.	4th request for X-section.	Dillon	Complete		30-Oct-09
444	29-Oct-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Noise mitigation fence.	3rd Request for cost of noise mitigation fence.	DM to respond	Complete	Sept 9 mtg notes indicated staff would include noise mitigation costing options with report to Council.	30-Oct-09
445	?	Public	[redacted]	E-mail? To Dillon	Plates related to property.	Request for plans related to his property frontage.	Dillon to respond	Complete	3 plates e-mailed.	5-Oct-09
446	1-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Specs on noise attenuation fence.	Provides specs on noise attenuation fence preferred with City ownership.	No response required.	Filed		N/A
447	1-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Fence line.	Confirm proposed fence line inside current by few feet.	DM to respond	Complete	No add'l land req'd.	23-Dec-09
448	2-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Hunter Park Residents' mtg outstanding business.	3rd request for reply.	DM to respond	Complete		5-Nov-09
449	5-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Hunter Park Residents' mtg outstanding business.	4th request for reply.	DM to respond	Complete		5-Nov-09

450	6-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Missing info from outstanding business.	Missing [redacted] memo, Real Estate staff response & cost/m of noise mitigation fence.	DM to respond	Complete	[redacted] memo e-mailed 11/11, statement from Real Estate e/m'd 11/13.	13-Nov-09
451	8-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Follow up to ID# 447	It's been a week, haven't heard back.	DM to respond			23-Dec-09
452	9-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Noise study	Alleges incorrect data in the recalculated noise study provided Oct. 22.		Complete		14-Jan-10
453	11-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Missing info from outstanding business.	2nd request (see ID# 450).	DM to respond	Complete	Apology for delay, request understanding. 11/11. [redacted] memo e-mailed 11/11, statement from Real Estate e/m'd 11/13.	13-Nov-09
454	11-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Inaccuracies and promises.	Alleges incorrect, misleading data, lack of interest in public input.		Complete		
455	11-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Distance btw road & houses, noise study.	Alleges incorrect, misleading data.		Complete		
456	11-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Noise mitigation fence.	Confirm City will include noise mitigation costing for Parkside residents too.	DM to respond	Complete		
457	11-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Fence line.	Follow up to ID# 447. Why are Hunter Park Survey Residents getting replies before Parkside residents?		Complete		23-Dec-09
458	12-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Dillon	Include neighbour in communications	Neighbour [redacted] would like to be included in further communications.	Dillon to include in Waterdown Rd database.	Complete		12-Nov-09
459	13-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to M. Fazio	Truck Routes and noise levels.	If E/W road to become a Truck Route, wants Scenario 3 be implemented.	McCarthy's Offc requests staff to respond.	Complete	Noise study has factored in truck traffic. Refer to Oct. 22, 2009 response.	18-Nov-09
460	13-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Real estate values.	Wants written reply from Real Estate.		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
461	22-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Construction schedule	When does Waterdown Rd exapnsion start? When will (403/Waterdown Rd intersection) be completed?				
462	29-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Oak tree locations	Alleges errors in [redacted] report.	DM to respond	Complete	Answered in Dec. 11 reply	22-Dec-09
463	29-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Follow up to ID# 452	Haven't rec'd reply from Nov 9 e-mails. Advise when to expect reply.		Complete		14-Jan-10
464	29-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Follow up to ID#454 & 462	Awaiting response on distances btw houses and proposed road.		Complete	Answered in previous replies	N/A
465	29-Nov-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Clr. McCarthy	Mitigation	Requests meeting w/Clr to discuss mitigation.	Clr. McCarthy's Offc to respond.	Complete	See also Clr's response RE ID# 465	4-Dec-09
466	4-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Clr. McCarthy	Requests to meet w/Clr	Requests to meet w/Clr. McCarthy when she meets with [redacted]	Clr. McCarthy's Offc to respond.	No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A

477	4-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 447 & 457	Clarify loc'n of fence line. Expropriation?	DM to respond	Complete		23-Dec-09
478	6-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Clr. McCarthy	re ID# 465	Why was invitation to all residents retracted?	Clr. McCarthy's Offc to respond.	No action req'd by WATMP.	No changes - Breznik only. Confirm Dec. 10 at 4pm, Hamilton City Hall.	9-Dec-09
479	9-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Clr. McCarthy	re ID# 478	Requested mtg btw Clr and all Hunter Park Survey residents, not just him.	Clr. McCarthy's Offc to respond.	No action req'd by WATMP.		
480	10-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 462	Haven't rec'd reply to Nov. 29 e/m.	Mgt. to respond		Answered in Dec. 11 reply	22-Dec-09
481	10-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 452 & 463	Haven't rec'd reply to Nov. 9 & 29 E/Ms.	Mgt. to respond	Complete		14-Jan-10
482	10-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 462 & 455	Haven't rec'd reply to Nov. 11 & 29 E/Ms.	Mgt. to respond			
483	12-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Distance btw road & property.	Pls confirm distance from back of their property to proposed E/W road.	DM to respond	Complete	Provided detailed response	7-Jan-10
484	13-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re Clrs response RE ID# 465	Maintains original request was for a meeting btw Clr and all Hunter Park Survey residents.	Clr. McCarthy's Offc to respond.	No action req'd by WATMP.		14-Dec-09
485	20-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to M. Fazio	re ID#459 Truck Route Master Plan	Wants Truck Route Study Group to commit to a noise study at the time the E/W roadway would be considered as a truck route.		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
486	20-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID#s 462 & 480	Haven't rec'd reply to Nov. 29 or Dec. 10 e/m	DM to respond	Complete	Answered in Dec. 11 reply	22-Dec-09
487	20-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID#s 452, 463 & 481	Haven't rec'd reply to Nov. 9, 29 or Dec. 10 e/m	DM to respond	Complete		14-Jan-10
488	20-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID#s 455, 462 & 482	Haven't rec'd reply to Nov. 11, 29 or Dec. 10 e/m	DM to respond	Complete	Answered in previous replies	N/A
489	21-Dec-09	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 483	Haven't rec'd reply to Dec. 12 e/m	DM to respond			
490	4-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Bike lanes	Objects to elimination of bike lanes. Formal objection?	Check w/Syeda			
491	5-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 483 & 489	Haven't rec'd reply to Dec. 12 e/m	DM to respond	Complete	Detailed diagram sent.	7-Jan-10
492	7-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID#s 452, 463, 481 & 487	Haven't rec'd reply to Nov. 9, 29, Dec. 10 or 20 e/m	PH to respond		Stock reply.	14-Jan-10
493	7-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID#s 462 & 480	Cost of noise mitigation fence?	PH to respond	Complete	J. Stephen e/m	19-Apr-10
494	7-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Access to reports	How to obtain copy of prelim ESR, report to Council? How to request presentation to Council?	PH to respond	Complete	J. Stephen e/m	19-Apr-10
495	7-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Clr. McCarthy	Meeting	Requests group mtg w/Clr. McCarthy	Clr. McCarthy's Offc to respond.	No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
496	12-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Roundabout	Impact of roundabout on property at 497 Parkside Dr.				
497	12-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 494	Haven't got answer to Jan. 7 E/M.		Complete	J. Stephen e/m	19-Apr-10
498	12-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 462	Cost of noise mitigation fence?		Complete	J. Stephen e/m	19-Apr-10
499	12-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Clr. McCarthy	re ID# 495	Haven't rec't response to request for meeting w/Clr.		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A

500	14-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Notification of PWC mtg	Haven't rec'd notification of when ESR was to be presented to PWC.		Complete	E/M sent to all NAC members Jan. 18	18-Jan-10
501	14-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	Meeting	How to request delegate status?	Staff met with [redacted] Had additional question on how to request delegate status at a Council ctee meeting.	Syeda to respond	Complete		14-Jan-10
502	14-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Mountain Brow Rd.	Will signal be installed at Mt. Brow and Waterdown Rd?		Complete	Yes.	9-Feb-10
503	15-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Councillor's offc	Stop-up of Conc 4W	Chicken farm requires accommodation for tractor trailers into/out of property.	Syeda to respond	Complete	Recommendation in ESR and in design stage.	27-Jan-10
504	17-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Clr. McCarthy	re ID#s 499,495&484	Haven't got answer to Jan. 7, 12 & Dec 13 E/M.		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
505	17-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Public review of ESR	Wants ESR released 60 days prior to filing for public input.		Complete	Process will not change.	7-Apr-10
506	17-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 492	Claims hasn't got reply to previous e-mails on inaccuracies.		No action req'd by WATMP.	Dec. 22 & Jan. 14 e-mails indicate "comments relevant to the ESRs and received prior to December 1st, 2009 will be addressed in the ESRs as appropriate. "	N/A
507	18-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	How to request delegate status?	How to request delegate status for E/W portion?		Complete	See instructions in notification sent to NAC.	20-Jan-10
508	21-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Mayor	Meeting re concerns.	Clr. McCarthy hasn't responded to request for meeting. Will you meet with us?		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
509	24-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Waterdown Rd widening	Affect on 1691 Waterdown Rd?	PH to respond	Complete	No property acquisition req'd.	22-Feb-10
510	27-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Mayor	re ID# 508	Haven't rec'd acknowledgement of e-mail.		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
511	4-Feb-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	re ID# 509	When can I expect response to Jan. 24 E/M?			See ID# 509	
512	29-Jan-10	Public	[redacted]	Meeting	Impacts on property	Impacts on property at 678 Hwy 6 N	Provide package of materials.	Julia to Mail 2/12	Mailed:Draft E_W Noise report Draft E-W Air quality report Cross-sections in front of his house Some pictures of the curb and gutter that we are proposing	12-Feb-10
513	11-Feb-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	441 Parkside Drive impacts	Would like info on portion east of Hwy 6, streetscape of Parkside dr.				

514	11-Feb-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Clr. McCarthy	Public comment on ESR	Says City of Burl staff released report for 45 day public review, public open house then recommendations to Council. Wants Hamilton to do same for E/W portion.	Referred to mgt for response.		GM's e-mail.	20-Apr-10
515	21-Feb-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Traffic west of Hwy 6	How will E/W bypass join Hwy 5?				
516	22-Feb-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail	Waterdown Rd widening	Doesn't understand response to ID# 509.				
517	12-Mar-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Clr. McCarthy	Communication w/Councillor	Supports [redacted] concerns re communication w/Clr. McCarthy		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
518	29-Mar-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Mayor	Communication w/Councillor	Processs & communications issues wrt release of ESR.		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
519	29-Mar-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Murray & Davis	Release of report.	Processs & communications issues wrt release of ESR.		Complete	J. Stephen responded: process will not change.	7-Apr-10
520	8-Apr-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Stephen	Release of report.	Processs & communications issues wrt release of ESR.		Complete	J. Stephen responded w/detailed answers.	19-Apr-10
521	15-Apr-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Stephen	re ID# 520	Will I be receiving a response?		Complete	J. Stephen responded w/detailed answers.	19-Apr-10
522	19-Apr-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to Stephen	re response to ID# 520	Claims not all Qs answered.		Complete	G. Davis responded - Qs answered, no more correspondence until after ESR is released.	20-Apr-10
523	20-Apr-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail to [redacted]	Remove from cc	Requests [redacted] remove him from distribution list - doesn't believe [redacted] making claims on everyone's behalf.		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
524	20-Apr-10	Public	[redacted]	Email to G. Davis	re response ID # 520	Claims not all Qs answered.		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
525	5-May-10	Public	[redacted]	Email to Staff, MOE and Cr	Hawthorne Tree Blossoms on Parkside Drive - EW route	Attached some pictures of Hawthorn trees and requested for the fence for noise mitigation		No action req'd by WATMP.		N/A
526	21-May-10	Public	[redacted]	E-mail via info@hamilton.ca (CCC)	Potential home buyer	Potential impacts of E/W route on property at 236 Parkside Drive? Traffic volumes? Municipal water hook-up?	Referred water Q to J. Scarcelli (WWW).	Complete	Provided WWW contact. Traffic volumes on Parkside at this location should not be affected.	1-Jun-10

527	Communications between 19-Oct-10 - 6-Mar-10	Public	[redacted]	Emails to City staff	Noise Assessment	Concerns about noise assessment report and requests for noise attenuation fence behind his house on Parkside Drive	MJ to respond	Complete	CoH staff met with resident to discuss issues and also onsite meeting. Recommnedation made and passed by City Council to include the noise attenuation fence to be built behind resident's home in the ESR	Communications between 25-Oct-10 - 6-Mar 12
528	20-Oct-12	Public	[redacted]	Email to Lori Rez	North-South Alignment	Wants a copy of the approved final north-south alignment	MJ to resond	Complete	Final ESR not available until filing. Directed to website for Draft north-south Corridor design plates	25-Oct-10
529	Communications between 22-Oct-10 - 4-Aug-11	Public	[redacted]	Email to Adam Millington	Status as it relates to property	Status of [redacted] Mountain Brow.	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed update of status of Watedown Road ESR	11-Aug-11
530	Communications between 27-Jan-11 - 27-Mar-12	Public	[redacted]	Emails to Project Partners	Property on Dundas Street	Vibration, Noise, Pedestrian Crossing, Road Design, New City Park, Timing	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed detailed response to concerns. Project Team met onsite to discuss noise and vibration concerns. Halton Region to conduct additional noise monitoring assessment.	Communications between 27-Jan-11 - 27-Mar-12
531	6-Feb-11	Public	[redacted]	Phone Call & Email to M.Jajko	Waterdown Road & Mountain Brow Road	Requested to be on mailing list	MJ to resond	Complete	Placed on mailing list.	6-Feb-11
532	Communications between 23-Feb-11 - 26-Apr-11	Public	[redacted]	Phone Call to T.McKenna	Detailed Design	Wants to be involved in detail design process as it relates to his property	MJ to resond	Complete	MJ & JM met with property owner. MJ sent detailed follow-up email.	Communications between 24-Feb-11 - 29-Apr-11
533	Communications between 28-Feb-11 - 22-Feb-12	Public	[redacted]	Phone Call and Emails to M.Jajko	[redacted] Hwy 6	Following up on behalf of property owner. Concerns include: traffic noise, drainage & grading impacts, property, natural environmental and air quality impacts, access to property, travel portion of hwy 6 closer, vibration, impacts of Parkside Drive EA on E-W EA	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed detailed response to each question and mailed the property owner the response letter.	Communications between 28-Feb-11 - 23-Feb-12
534	15-Jun-11	Public	[redacted]	Phone Call to M.Jajko	[redacted] Mountain Brow Road	Requested design plates related to property.	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed Draft Design Plates.	15-Jun-11

535	Communications between 14-July-11 - 13-Sept-11	Public	[redacted]	Email to SYL	Waterodwn Road Corridor	Access to Burlington? Right turn only off Flanders to Mountain Brow? Safety precautions for crossing Mountain Brow? Concerned about widening Mountain Brow to 4 lanes. Public involvement in detail design stage? Questions Rosecliffe Place being used as an emergency lane during construction. Clarification on number of lanes for Burke St / Mid-block. If Hwy 6 closes questions whether Waterdown Road will be used as truck route.	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed detailed responses. TS responded Qs answered, no more correspondence until after ESR is released.	Communications between 9-Aug-11 - 6 Oct-11
536	21-Jul-12	Public	[redacted]	Phone Call to MJ	Waterdown Road Improvements	Requested update on Waterdown Road EA.	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed link to study web site.	21-Jul-11
537	27-Sep-11	Public	[redacted]	Phone Call to MJ	Roadway Elements & EA process	Requested more information of roadway elements for Parkside Drive.	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed key plan, web site link, and Parkside Drive roadway elements.	27-Sep-11
538	Communications between 30-Oct-11 - 9-Nov-11	Public	[redacted]	Email to Cllr Partridge	Waterdown/Aldershot TMP update	Requested update on EA, Hamilton-Burlington cost sharing agreement, timing for construction.	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed detailed update on EA, Cost sharing agreement and timing.	Communications between 3-Nov-11 - 14-Nov-11
539	11-Nov-11	Public	[redacted]	Email to DM	SW corner of Dundas and Kerns	Anticipated timing? Timing to impact development applications? Updated cost of the area specific development charge. Link to ESR.	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed detailed response.	23-Nov-11
540	27-Jan-12	Public	[redacted]	?	Parkside Drive Improvemetns	Requested information on proposed changes to Parkside Drive.	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed typical cross-section of Parkside Drive and preliminary design concept.	27-Jan-12
541	1-Feb-12	Public	[redacted]	Email to CT	Realignment of Waterdown Road	Is Waterdown Road going to be realigned?	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed update.	3-Feb-12
542	29-Feb-12	Public	[redacted]	Email to MJ	Waterdown Road Corridor East-West Road Corridor Municipal Class EA Update Newsletter Response	Requested clarification on relationship between filing Waterdown Rd and E/W component of road, clarification on DC Background study.	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed detailed response.	9-Mar-12
543	Communications between 2-Mar-12 - 7 Mar-12	Public	[redacted]	Email to MM	East-West Corridor Alignment	Requested confirmation of East-West Road Corridor Alingment.	MJ to resond	Complete	Emailed AutoCAD files for the requested road section.	Communications between 2-Mar-12 - 27-Mar-12